

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com> NANOG35 23-25 October 2005 Los Angeles

Presentation Slides

Available on

ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com

/pfs/seminars/NANOG35-BGP-Multihoming.pdf

And on the NANOG 35 meeting pages at http://www.nanog.org/mtg-0510/pdf/smith.pdf

Preliminaries

- Presentation has many configuration examples
 Uses Cisco IOS CLI
- Aimed at Service Providers

Techniques can be used by many enterprises too

Feel free to ask questions

BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- Service Provider Multihoming
- Complex Cases & Caveats

It's all about redundancy, diversity & reliability

Redundancy

One connection to internet means the network is dependent on:

Local router (configuration, software, hardware)

WAN media (physical failure, carrier failure)

Upstream Service Provider (configuration, software, hardware)

Reliability

Business critical applications demand continuous availability

Lack of redundancy implies lack of reliability implies loss of revenue

Supplier Diversity

- Many businesses demand supplier diversity as a matter of course
- Internet connection from two or more suppliers
 - With two or more diverse WAN paths
 - With two or more exit points
 - With two or more international connections
 - Two of everything

- Not really a reason, but oft quoted...
- Leverage:

Playing one ISP off against the other for: Service Quality Service Offerings Availability

• Summary:

Multihoming is easy to demand as requirement for any service provider or end-site network

But what does it really mean:

In real life?

For the network?

For the Internet?

And how do we do it?

BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- Service Provider Multihoming
- Complex Cases & Caveats

Multihoming: Definitions & Options

What does it mean, what do we need, and how do we do it?

Multihoming Definition

 More than one link external to the local network

two or more links to the same ISP

two or more links to different ISPs

 Usually two external facing routers one router gives link and provider redundancy only

AS Numbers

- An Autonomous System Number is required by BGP
- Obtained from upstream ISP or Regional Registry (RIR)

AfriNIC, APNIC, ARIN, LACNIC, RIPE NCC

- Necessary when you have links to more than one ISP or to an exchange point
- 16 bit integer, ranging from 1 to 65534

Zero and 65535 are reserved

64512 through 65534 are called Private ASNs

Private-AS – Application

Applications

An ISP with customers multihomed on their backbone (RFC2270)

-or-

A corporate network with several regions but connections to the Internet only in the core

-or-

Within a BGP Confederation



Private-AS – Removal

 Private ASNs MUST be removed from all prefixes announced to the public Internet

Include configuration to remove private ASNs in the eBGP template

 As with RFC1918 address space, private ASNs are intended for internal use

They should not be leaked to the public Internet

Cisco IOS

neighbor x.x.x.x remove-private-AS

Configuring Policy

- Three BASIC Principles for IOS configuration examples throughout presentation:
 - prefix-lists to filter prefixes
 - filter-lists to filter ASNs
 - route-maps to apply policy
- Route-maps can be used for filtering, but this is more "advanced" configuration

Policy Tools

- Local preference outbound traffic flows
- Metric (MED)

inbound traffic flows (local scope)

AS-PATH prepend

inbound traffic flows (Internet scope)

Communities

specific inter-provider peering

Originating Prefixes: Assumptions

- MUST announce assigned address block to Internet
- MAY also announce subprefixes reachability is not guaranteed
- Current RIR minimum allocation is /21

Several ISPs filter RIR blocks on this boundary

Several ISPs filter the rest of address space according to the IANA assignments

This activity is called "Net Police" by some

Originating Prefixes

- The RIRs publish their minimum allocation sizes per /8 address block
 - AfriNIC:www.afrinic.net/docs/policies/afpol-v4200407-000.htmAPNIC:www.apnic.net/db/min-alloc.htmlARIN:www.arin.net/reference/ip_blocks.htmlLACNIC:lacnic.net/en/registro/index.htmlRIPE NCC:www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/smallest-alloc-sizes.html

Note that AfriNIC only publishes its current minimum allocation size, not the allocation size for its address blocks

 IANA publishes the address space it has assigned to end-sites and allocated to the RIRs:

www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space

• Several ISPs use this published information to filter prefixes on:

What should be routed (from IANA)

The minimum allocation size from the RIRs

"Net Police" prefix list issues

- meant to "punish" ISPs who pollute the routing table with specifics rather than announcing aggregates
- impacts legitimate multihoming especially at the Internet's edge
- impacts regions where domestic backbone is unavailable or costs \$\$\$ compared with international bandwidth
- hard to maintain requires updating when RIRs start allocating from new address blocks
- don't do it unless consequences understood and you are prepared to keep the list current

Consider using the Project Cymru bogon BGP feed instead http://www.cymru.com/BGP/bogon-rs.html

Multihoming Scenarios: Stub Network



- No need for BGP
- Point static default to upstream ISP
- Router will load share on the two parallel circuits
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

Multihoming Scenarios: Multi-homed Stub Network



- Use BGP (not IGP or static) to loadshare
- Use private AS (ASN > 64511)
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

Multihoming Scenarios: Multi-Homed Network



Many situations possible

multiple sessions to same ISP secondary for backup only load-share between primary and secondary selectively use different ISPs

Multihoming Scenarios: Multiple Sessions to an ISP

Use eBGP multihop

 eBGP to loopback addresses
 eBGP prefixes learned with loopback address as next hop

Cisco IOS

```
router bgp 65534
neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 200
neighbor 1.1.1.1 ebgp-multihop 2
!
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/0
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/1
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/2
```



Multiple Sessions to an ISP eBGP multihop

- One eBGP-multihop gotcha:
 - R1 and R3 are eBGP peers that are loopback peering

Configured with:

neighbor x.x.x.x ebgp-multihop 2

If the R1 to R3 link goes down the session could establish via R2



Multiple Sessions to an ISP eBGP multihop

Try and avoid use of ebgp-multihop unless:

It's absolutely necessary -or-

Loadsharing across multiple links

Many ISPs discourage its use, for example:

We will run eBGP multihop, but do not support it as a standard offering because customers generally have a hard time managing it due to:

- routing loops
- failure to realise that BGP session stability problems are usually due connectivity problems between their CPE and their BGP speaker

Multihoming Scenarios: Multiple Sessions to an ISP

- Simplest scheme is to use defaults
- Learn/advertise prefixes for better control
- Planning and some work required to achieve loadsharing

Point default towards one ISP

Learn selected prefixes from second ISP

Modify the number of prefixes learnt to achieve acceptable load sharing

No magic solution



BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- Service Provider Multihoming
- Complex Cases & Caveats

Preparing the Network

Putting our own house in order first...

Preparing the Network

- We will deploy BGP across the network before we try and multihome
- BGP will be used therefore an ASN is required
- If multihoming to different ISPs, public ASN needed:
 - Either go to upstream ISP who is a registry member, or
 - Apply to the RIR yourself for a one off assignment, or
 - Ask an ISP who is a registry member, or
 - Join the RIR and get your own IP address allocation too (this option strongly recommended)!

Preparing the Network

The network is not running any BGP at the moment

single statically routed connection to upstream ISP

The network is not running any IGP at all

Static default and routes through the network to do "routing"

Preparing the Network IGP

- Decide on IGP: OSPF or ISIS [©]
- Assign loopback interfaces and /32 addresses to each router which will run the IGP

Loopback is used for OSPF and BGP router id anchor

Used for iBGP and route origination

Deploy IGP (e.g. OSPF)

IGP can be deployed with NO IMPACT on the existing static routing

OSPF distance is 110, static distance is 1

Smallest distance wins

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

- Be prudent deploying IGP keep the Link State Database Lean!
 - **Router loopbacks go in IGP**
 - WAN point to point links go in IGP
 - (In fact, any link where IGP dynamic routing will be run should go into IGP)
 - Summarise on area/level boundaries (if possible) i.e. think about your IGP address plan

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

Routes which don't go into the IGP include:

Dynamic assignment pools (DSL/Cable/Dial)

Customer point to point link addressing

(using next-hop-self in iBGP ensures that these do NOT need to be in IGP)

Static/Hosting LANs

Customer assigned address space

Anything else not listed in the previous slide

Preparing the Network iBGP

- Second step is to configure the local network to use iBGP
- iBGP can run on
 - all routers, or
 - a subset of routers, or
 - just on the upstream edge
- *iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections*


Preparing the Network iBGP (Transit Path)

- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections
- Routers C, E and F are not in the transit path
 - Static routes or IGP will suffice
- Router D is in the transit path

Will need to be in iBGP mesh, otherwise routing loops will result



Preparing the Network Layers

• Typical SP networks have three layers:

Core – the backbone, usually the transit path

Distribution – the middle, PoP aggregation layer

Aggregation – the edge, the devices connecting customers

Preparing the Network Aggregation Layer

iBGP is optional

Many ISPs run iBGP here, either partial routing (more common) or full routing (less common)

Full routing is not needed unless customers want full table

Partial routing is cheaper/easier, might usually consist of internal prefixes and, optionally, external prefixes to aid external load balancing

Communities and peer-groups make this administratively easy

Many aggregation devices can't run iBGP

Static routes from distribution devices for address pools IGP for best exit

Preparing the Network Distribution Layer

Usually runs iBGP

Partial or full routing (as with aggregation layer)

But does not have to run iBGP

IGP is then used to carry customer prefixes (does not scale)

IGP is used to determine nearest exit

Networks which plan to grow large should deploy iBGP from day one

Migration at a later date is extra work

No extra overhead in deploying iBGP, indeed IGP benefits

Preparing the Network Core Layer

- Core of network is usually the transit path
- iBGP necessary between core devices

Full routes or partial routes:

Transit ISPs carry full routes in core

Edge ISPs carry partial routes only

Core layer includes AS border routers

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Decide on:

Best iBGP policy

Will it be full routes everywhere, or partial, or some mix?

iBGP scaling technique

Community policy?

Route-reflectors?

Techniques such as peer groups and peer templates?

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

• Then deploy iBGP:

Step 1: Introduce iBGP mesh on chosen routers

make sure that iBGP distance is greater than IGP distance (it usually is)

Step 2: Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 3: Carefully remove the static routing for the prefixes now in IGP and iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 4: Deployment of eBGP follows

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP?

Customer assigned address space

Network statement/static route combination

Use unique community to identify customer assignments

Customer facing point-to-point links

Redistribute connected through filters which only permit point-to-point link addresses to enter iBGP

Use a unique community to identify point-to-point link addresses (these are only required for your monitoring system)

Dynamic assignment pools & local LANs

Simple network statement will do this

Use unique community to identify these networks

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Carefully remove static routes?

• Work on one router at a time:

Check that static route for a particular destination is also learned either by IGP or by iBGP

If so, remove it

If not, establish why and fix the problem

(Remember to look in the RIB, not the FIB!)

- Then the next router, until the whole PoP is done
- Then the next PoP, and so on until the network is now dependent on the IGP and iBGP you have deployed

Preparing the Network Completion

Previous steps are NOT flag day steps

Each can be carried out during different maintenance periods, for example:

Step One on Week One

Step Two on Week Two

Step Three on Week Three

And so on

And with proper planning will have NO customer visible impact at all

Preparing the Network Configuration Summary

- IGP essential networks are in IGP
- Customer networks are now in iBGP iBGP deployed over the backbone
 Full or Partial or Upstream Edge only
- BGP distance is greater than any IGP
- Now ready to deploy eBGP

BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- "BGP Traffic Engineering"
- Complex Cases & Caveats

Learning to walk before we try running

- No frills multihoming
- Will look at two cases:

Multihoming with the same ISP

Multihoming to different ISPs

Will keep the examples easy

Understanding easy concepts will make the more complex scenarios easier to comprehend

All examples assume that the site multihoming has a /19 address block

- This type is most commonplace at the edge of the Internet
 - Networks here are usually concerned with inbound traffic flows
 - Outbound traffic flows being "nearest exit" is usually sufficient
- Can apply to the leaf ISP as well as Enterprise networks

Multihoming to the Same ISP

Basic Multihoming: Multihoming to the same ISP

Use BGP for this type of multihoming

use a private AS (ASN > 64511)

There is no need or justification for a public ASN

Making the nets of the end-site visible gives no useful information to the Internet

Upstream ISP proxy aggregates

in other words, announces only your address block to the Internet from their AS (as would be done if you had one statically routed connection)

Two links to the same ISP

One link primary, the other link backup only

 Applies when end-site has bought a large primary WAN link to their upstream a small secondary WAN link as the backup

For example, primary path might be an E1, backup might be 64kbps



 Border router E in AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
 - primary link:
 - **Outbound announce /19 unaltered**
 - Inbound receive default route
 - backup link:
 - Outbound announce /19 with increased metric
 - Inbound received default, and reduce local preference
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.2 description RouterC
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list aggregate out
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
```

network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100

neighbor 122.102.10.6 description RouterD

neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-out out

neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in

neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-in in

!

..next slide

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
route-map routerD-out permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
 set metric 10
route-map routerD-out permit 20
I
route-map routerD-in permit 10
 set local-preference 90
ļ
```

Router C Configuration (main link)

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534
neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router D Configuration (backup link)

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 65534
neighbor 122.102.10.5 default-originate
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list Customer in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

Router E Configuration

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer out
!
```

ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19

- Router E removes the private AS and customer's subprefixes from external announcements
- Private AS still visible inside AS100

Two links to the same ISP

With Loadsharing

NANOG 35

- More common case
- End sites tend not to buy circuits and leave them idle, only used for backup as in previous example
- This example assumes equal capacity circuits

Unequal capacity circuits requires more refinement – see later



 Border router E in AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link

basic inbound loadsharing

assumes equal circuit capacity and even spread of traffic across address block

- Vary the split until "perfect" loadsharing achieved
- Accept the default from upstream

basic outbound loadsharing by nearest exit

okay in first approx as most ISP and end-site traffic is inbound

Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
```

Router B configuration is similar but with the other /20

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 100
```

neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534

neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate

neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in

neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out

```
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
```

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

- Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block
- Router D configuration is identical

- Loadsharing configuration is only on customer router
- Upstream ISP has to

remove customer subprefixes from external announcements

remove private AS from external announcements

Could also use BGP communities

Two links to the same ISP

Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Unusual for an ISP just to have one dualhomed customer Valid/valuable service offering for an ISP with multiple PoPs Better for ISP than having customer multihome with another provider!
- Look at scaling the configuration
 - \Rightarrow Simplifying the configuration
 - Using templates, peer-groups, etc
 - Every customer has the same configuration (basically)
Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)



- Customer announcements as per previous example
- Use the same private AS for each customer documented in RFC2270 address space is not overlapping each customer hears default only
- Router An and Bn configuration same as Router A and B previously

Router A1 Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
```

Router B1 configuration is similar but for the other /20

Router C Configuration

router bgp 100

neighbor bgp-customers peer-group neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534 neighbor bgp-customers default-originate neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out neighbor 122.102.10.1 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.1 description Customer One neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer1 in neighbor 122.102.10.9 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.9 description Customer Two neighbor 122.102.10.9 prefix-list Customer2 in

neighbor 122.102.10.17 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.17 description Customer Three neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer3 in

```
ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 121.16.64.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 121.14.192.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

- Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block
- Router D configuration is almost identical

Router E Configuration

assumes customer address space is not part of upstream's address block

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customers out
!
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.16.64.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.14.192.0/19
```

Private AS still visible inside AS100

 If customers' prefixes come from ISP's address block

do NOT announce them to the Internet

announce ISP aggregate only

Router E configuration:

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list my-aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list my-aggregate permit 121.8.0.0/13
```

Basic Multihoming

Multihoming to different ISPs

Two links to different ISPs

Use a Public AS

Or use private AS if agreed with the other ISP

But some people don't like the "inconsistent-AS" which results from use of a private-AS

Address space comes from

both upstreams or

Regional Internet Registry

Configuration concepts very similar

Inconsistent-AS?



Two links to different ISPs

One link primary, the other link backup only

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

primary link makes standard announcement

backup link lengthens the AS PATH by using AS PATH prepend

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list aggregate out
neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-out out
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-in in
I
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
route-map routerD-out permit 10
set as-path prepend 130 130 130
1
route-map routerD-in permit 10
set local-preference 80
```

- Not a common situation as most sites tend to prefer using whatever capacity they have
- But it shows the basic concepts of using local-prefs and AS-path prepends for engineering traffic in the chosen direction

Two links to different ISPs

With Loadsharing

NANOG 35

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link

basic inbound loadsharing

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other ISP ensures continued connectivity



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list firstblock out
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
I
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0/0
ļ
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list secondblock out
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
I
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ļ
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

- Loadsharing in this case is very basic
- But shows the first steps in designing a load sharing solution

Start with a simple concept

And build on it...!

Two links to different ISPs

More Controlled Loadsharing

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

On first link, announce /19 as normal

On second link, announce /19 with longer AS PATH, and announce one /20 subprefix

controls loadsharing between upstreams and the Internet

- Vary the subprefix size and AS PATH length until "perfect" loadsharing achieved
- Still require redundancy!



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list subblocks out
neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD out
I
route-map routerD permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
set as-path prepend 130 130
route-map routerD permit 20
1
ip prefix-list subblocks permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

- This example is more commonplace
- Shows how ISPs and end-sites subdivide address space frugally, as well as use the AS-PATH prepend concept to optimise the load sharing between different ISPs
- Notice that the /19 aggregate block is ALWAYS announced

BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- "BGP Traffic Engineering"
- Complex Cases & Caveats

Service Provider Multihoming

BGP Traffic Engineering

Service Provider Multihoming

- Previous examples dealt with loadsharing inbound traffic
 - **Of primary concern at Internet edge**
 - What about outbound traffic?
- Transit ISPs strive to balance traffic flows in both directions
 - **Balance link utilisation**
 - Try and keep most traffic flows symmetric
 - Some edge ISPs try and do this too
- The original "Traffic Engineering"

Service Provider Multihoming

- Balancing outbound traffic requires inbound routing information
 - Common solution is "full routing table"
 - **Rarely necessary**
 - Why use the "routing mallet" to try solve loadsharing problems?
 - "Keep It Simple" is often easier (and \$\$\$ cheaper) than carrying N-copies of the full routing table

Service Provider Multihoming MYTHS!!

- Common MYTHS
- 1: You need the full routing table to multihome
 - People who sell router memory would like you to believe this
 - Only true if you are a transit provider
 - Full routing table can be a significant hindrance to multihoming
- 2: You need a BIG router to multihome
 - Router size is related to data rates, not running BGP
 - In reality, to multihome, your router needs to:
 - Have two interfaces,
 - Be able to talk BGP to at least two peers,
 - Be able to handle BGP attributes,
 - Handle at least one prefix
- 3: BGP is complex
 - In the wrong hands, yes it can be! Keep it Simple!

Service Provider Multihoming: Some Strategies

 Take the prefixes you need to aid traffic engineering

Look at NetFlow data for popular sites

 Prefixes originated by your immediate neighbours and their neighbours will do more to aid load balancing than prefixes from ASNs many hops away

Concentrate on local destinations

Use default routing as much as possible

Or use the full routing table with care

Service Provider Multihoming

- Examples
 - One upstream, one local peer
 - One upstream, local exchange point
 - Two upstreams, one local peer
- Require BGP and a public ASN
- Examples assume that the local network has their own /19 address block

Service Provider Multihoming

One upstream, one local peer
- Very common situation in many regions of the Internet
- Connect to upstream transit provider to see the "Internet"
- Connect to the local competition so that local traffic stays local

Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept default route only from upstream
 Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes from local peer

Router A Configuration

```
Prefix filters
router bgp 110
                                                inbound
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 120
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list my-block out
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list AS120-peer in
ip prefix-list AS120-peer permit 122.5.16.0/19
ip prefix-list AS120-peer permit 121.240.0.0/20
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router A – Alternative Configuration

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
                                              AS Path filters -
                                              more "trusting"
neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 120
neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list my-block out
neighbor 122.102.10.2 filter-list 10 in
I
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(120)+$
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 110
```

```
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

```
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

- Two configurations possible for Router A
 Filter-lists assume peer knows what they are
 doing
 Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer
 Some ISPs use both
- Local traffic goes to and from local peer, everything else goes to upstream

Aside: Configuration Recommendation

- Private Peers
 - The peering ISPs exchange prefixes they originate
 - Sometimes they exchange prefixes from neighbouring ASNs too
- Be aware that the private peer eBGP router should carry only the prefixes you want the private peer to receive

Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone

Service Provider Multihoming

One Upstream, Local Exchange Point

- Very common situation in many regions of the Internet
- Connect to upstream transit provider to see the "Internet"
- Connect to the local Internet Exchange Point so that local traffic stays local

Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



- Announce /19 aggregate to every neighbouring AS
- Accept default route only from upstream
 Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes originated by IXP peers

Router A Configuration

```
interface fastethernet 0/0
description Exchange Point LAN
ip address 120.5.10.1 mask 255.255.255.224
ip verify unicast reverse-path
!
router bgp 110
neighbor ixp-peers peer-group
neighbor ixp-peers prefix-list my-block out
neighbor ixp-peers remove-private-AS
neighbor ixp-peers route-map set-local-pref in
..next slide
```

- neighbor 120.5.10.2 remote-as 100
- neighbor 120.5.10.2 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 120.5.10.2 prefix-list peer100 in
- neighbor 120.5.10.3 remote-as 101
- neighbor 120.5.10.3 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 120.5.10.3 prefix-list peer101 in
- neighbor 120.5.10.4 remote-as 102
- neighbor 120.5.10.4 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 120.5.10.4 prefix-list peer102 in
- neighbor 120.5.10.5 remote-as 103
- neighbor 120.5.10.5 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 120.5.10.5 prefix-list peer103 in

..next slide

```
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer100 permit 122.0.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer101 permit 122.30.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer102 permit 122.12.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer103 permit 122.18.128.0/19
!
route-map set-local-pref permit 10
set local-preference 150
!
```

 Note that Router A does not generate the aggregate for AS110

If Router A becomes disconnected from backbone, then the aggregate is no longer announced to the IX

BGP failover works as expected

 Note the inbound route-map which sets the local preference higher than the default

This ensures that local traffic crosses the IXP

(And avoids potential problems with uRPF check)

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

- Note Router A configuration
 Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer
 uRPF on the IX facing interface
 No generation of AS110 aggregate
- IXP traffic goes to and from local IXP, everything else goes to upstream

Aside: IXP Configuration Recommendation

• IXP peers

The peering ISPs at the IXP exchange prefixes they originate Sometimes they exchange prefixes from neighbouring ASNs too

 Be aware that the IXP border router should carry only the prefixes you want the IXP peers to receive and the destinations you want them to be able to reach

Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone

• If IXP router is at IX, and distant from your backbone Don't originate your address block at your IXP router

Service Provider Multihoming

Two Upstreams, One local peer

- Connect to both upstream transit providers to see the "Internet"
 - Provides external redundancy and diversity the reason to multihome
- Connect to the local peer so that local traffic stays local

Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept default route only from upstreams
 Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes from local peer

Router A

Same routing configuration as in example with one upstream and one local peer

Same hardware configuration

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

- This is the simple configuration for Router C and D
- Traffic out to the two upstreams will take nearest exit
 - **Inexpensive routers required**
 - This is not useful in practice especially for international links
 - Loadsharing needs to be better

- Better configuration options:
 - Accept full routing from both upstreams
 - **Expensive & unnecessary!**
 - Accept default from one upstream and some routes from the other upstream
 - The way to go!

Router C Configuration

```
Allow all prefixes in
router bgp 110
                                               apart from RFC1918
                                               and friends
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list rfc1918-deny in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
neighbor 122.102.10.1 route-map AS130-loadshare in
I
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
! See www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html
! ... for "RFC1918 and friends" list
...next slide
```

```
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ļ
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+ [0-9]+$
I
route-map AS130-loadshare permit 10
match ip as-path 10
set local-preference 120
route-map AS130-loadshare permit 20
set local-preference 80
ļ
```



Router C configuration:

Accept full routes from AS130

Tag prefixes originated by AS130 and AS130's neighbouring ASes with local preference 120

Traffic to those ASes will go over AS130 link

Remaining prefixes tagged with local preference of 80

Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS140

Router D configuration same as Router C without the route-map

Full routes from upstreams

Expensive – needs lots of memory and CPU

Need to play preference games

Previous example is only an example – real life will need improved fine-tuning!

Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see earlier in presentation for examples

Two Upstreams, One Local Peer Partial Routes

Strategy:

Ask one upstream for a default route

Easy to originate default towards a BGP neighbour

Ask other upstream for a full routing table

Then filter this routing table based on neighbouring ASN

E.g. want traffic to their neighbours to go over the link to that ASN

Most of what upstream sends is thrown away

Easier than asking the upstream to set up custom BGP filters for you

Two Upstreams, One Local Peer Partial Routes

Router C Configuration



Allow all prefixes

Two Upstreams, One Local Peer Partial Routes

```
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0/0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit (130) + [0-9] + 
route-map tag-default-low permit 10
match ip address prefix-list default
set local-preference 80
route-map tag-default-low permit 20
```

ļ
Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router C configuration:

Accept full routes from AS130

(or get them to send less)

Filter ASNs so only AS130 and AS130's neighbouring ASes are accepted

Allow default, and set it to local preference 80

Traffic to those ASes will go over AS130 link

Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS140

If AS140 link fails, backup via AS130 – and vice-versa

Partial routes from upstreams

Not expensive – only carry the routes necessary for loadsharing

Need to filter on AS paths

Previous example is only an example – real life will need improved fine-tuning!

Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see earlier in presentation for examples

Two Upstreams, One Local Peer

When upstreams cannot or will not announce default route

Because of operational policy against using "default-originate" on BGP peering

Solution is to use IGP to propagate default from the edge/peering routers

Router C Configuration

```
router ospf 110
default-information originate metric 30
passive-interface Serial 0/0
I
router bgp 110
 network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
 neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
 neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list rfc1918-deny in
 neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
 neighbor 122.102.10.1 filter-list 10 in
1
```

```
..next slide
```

```
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
! See www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html
! ...for "RFC1918 and friends" list
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 serial 0/0 254
!
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130_)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130_)+_[0-9]+$
!
```

Router D Configuration

```
router ospf 110
default-information originate metric 10
passive-interface Serial 0/0
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list deny-all in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
ļ
```

```
..next slide
```

```
ip prefix-list deny-all deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 serial 0/0 254
!
```

Partial routes from upstreams

Use OSPF to determine outbound path

Router D default has metric 10 – primary outbound path

Router C default has metric 30 – backup outbound path

Serial interface goes down, static default is removed from routing table, OSPF default withdrawn

Aside: Configuration Recommendation

When distributing internal default by iBGP or OSPF

Make sure that routers connecting to private peers or to IXPs do NOT carry the default route

Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone

Simple fix for Private Peer/IXP routers:

```
ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 null0
```

BGP Multihoming Techniques

- Why Multihome?
- Definition & Options
- Preparing the Network
- Basic Multihoming
- "BGP Traffic Engineering"
- Complex Cases & Caveats

Complex Cases & Caveats

How not to get stuck; how not to compromise routing system security

Complex Cases & Caveats

Complex Cases

Multi-exit backbone

Caveats

No default route on: Private peer edge router IXP peering router Separating transit and local paths Backup and non-backup Avoiding backbone hijack

Complex Cases

Multi-exit backbone

Multi-exit backbone

 ISP with many exits to different service providers Could be large transit carrier

Could be large regional ISP with a variety of international links to different continental locations

Load-balancing can be painful to set up

Outbound traffic is often easier to balance than inbound

Multi-exit backbone



Multi-exit backbone Step One

- How to approach this?
 - Simple steps
- Step One:
 - The IXP is easy!
 - Will usually be non-transit so preferred path for all prefixes learned this way
 - **Outbound announcement send our address block**
 - Inbound announcement accept everything originated by IXP peers, high local-pref

Multi-exit backbone Step Two

 Where does most of the inbound traffic come from?

Go to that source location, and check Looking Glass trace and AS-PATHs back to the neighbouring ASNs

i.e. which of AS120 through AS170 is the closest to "the source"

 Apply AS-path prepends such that the path through AS140 is one AS-hop closer than the other ASNs

AS140 is the ISP's biggest "pipe" to the Internet

This makes AS140 the preferred path to get from "the source" to AS110

Multi-exit backbone Step Three

Addressing plan now helps

Customers in vicinity of each of Router A, C and D addressed from contiguous address block assigned to each Router

Announcements from Router A address block sent out to AS120 and AS130

Announcements from Router C address block sent out to AS140 and AS150

Announcements from Router D address block sent out to AS160 and AS170

Multi-exit backbone Addressing Plan Assists Multihoming



Multi-exit backbone Step Four

- Customer type assists zone load balancing Two customer classes: Commercial & Consumer
 Commercial announced on T3 links
 Consumer announced on STM-1 links
- Commercial

Numbered from one address block in each zone

Consumer

Numbered from the other address block in each zone

Multi-exit backbone Example Summary (1)

- Address block: 100.10.0.0/16
- Router A zone: 100.10.0.0/18
 Commercial: 100.10.0.0/19
 Consumer: 100.10.32.0/19
- Router C zone: 100.10.128.0/17
 - Commercial: 100.10.128.0/18
 - Consumer: 100.10.192.0/18
- Router D zone: 100.10.64.0/18
 Commercial: 100.10.64.0/19
 Consumer: 100.10.96.0/19

Multi-exit backbone Example Summary (2)

- Router A announcement:
 - 100.10.0.0/16 with 3x ASpath prepend
 - 100.10.0.0/19 to AS130
 - 100.10.32.0/19 to AS120
- Router B announcement: 100.10.0/16
- Router C announcement: 100.10.0.0/16 100.10.128.0/18 to AS150 100.10.192.0/18 to AS140
- Router D announcement:

100.10.0.0/16 with 3x ASpath prepend 100.10.64.0/19 to AS170 100.10.96.0/19 to AS160

IANOG 35

Multi-exit backbone Summary

- This is an example strategy Your mileage may vary
- Example shows:
 - where to start,
 - what the thought processes are, and
 - what the strategies could be



Separating Transit and Local Paths

- Common problem is separating transit and local traffic for BGP customers
- Transit provider:
 - Provides internet access for BGP customer over one path
 - Provides domestic access for BGP customer over another path
 - Usually required for commercial reasons
 - Inter-AS traffic is unmetered
 - Transit traffic is metered



- Assume Router X is announcing 192.168/16 prefix
- Router C and D see two entries for 192.168/16 prefix:

RouterC#show ip bgp	,			
Network	Next Hop	Metric LocPrf	Weight	Path
* i192.168.0.0/16	10.0.1.1	100	0	120 i
*>i	10.0.1.5	100	0	120 i

 BGP path selection rules pick the highest next hop address

So this could be Router A or Router B!

No exit path selection here...

- There are a few solutions to this problem
 - Policy Routing on Router A according to packet source address
 - **GRE tunnels (gulp)**
- Preference is to keep it simple

Minor redesign and use of BGP weight is a simple solution

Transit and Local paths (Network Revision)



- Router B hears 192.168/16 from Router Y across the IXP
- Router C hears 192.168/16 from Router Z across the private peering link
- Router B sends 192.168/16 by iBGP to Router C:

RouterC#show ip bgg)		
Network	Next Hop	Metric LocPrf Weigh	t Path
*> 192.168.0.0/16	10.1.5.7	100	0 120 i
* i	10.0.1.5	100	0 120 i

Best path is by eBGP to Router Z

So Internet transit traffic to AS120 will go through private peering link

- Router D hears prefix by iBGP from both Router B and Router C
- BGP best path selection might pick either path, depending on IGP metric, or next hop address, etc
- Solution to force local traffic over the IXP link:

Apply high local preference on Router B for all routes learned from the IXP peers

RouterD#show ip bgp					
Network	Next Hop	Metric LocPrf	Weight	Path	
* i192.168.0.0/16	10.0.1.3	100	0	120 i	
*>i	10.0.1.5	120	0	120 i	

High local preference on B is visible throughout entire iBGP

Including on Router C

Ro	RouterC#show ip bgp						
	Network	Next Hop	Metric	LocPrf	Weight	Path	
*	192.168.0.0/16	10.1.5.7		100	0	120	i
*>	i	10.0.1.5		120	0	120	i

 As a result, Internet traffic now goes through the IX, not the private peering link as intended

 Solution: Use BGP weight on Router C for prefixes heard from AS120:

RouterC#show ip bgp					
Network	Next Hop	Metric LocPrf	Weight	Path	
*> 192.168.0.0/16	10.1.5.7	100	50000	120 i	
* i	10.0.1.5	120	0	120 i	

- So Router C prefers private link to AS120 for traffic coming from Internet
- Rest of AS110 prefers Router B exit through the IXP for local traffic

Transit and Local paths Summary

 Transit customer private peering connects to Border router

Transit customer routes get high weight

- Local traffic on IXP peering router gets high local preference
- Internet return traffic goes on private interconnect
- Domestic return traffic crosses IXP



Backup and Non-backup
Transit and Local paths Backups

 For the previous scenario, what happens if private peering link breaks?

Traffic backs up across the IXP

• What happens if the IXP breaks?

Traffic backs up across the private peering

 Some ISPs find this backup arrangement acceptable

It is a backup, after all

Transit and Local paths IXP Non-backup

- IXP actively does not allow transit
- ISP solution:
 - 192.168/16 via IX tagged one community
 - 192.168/16 via PP tagged other community
 - Using community tags, iBGP on IX router (Router B) does not send 192.168/16 to upstream border (Router C)
 - Therefore Router C only hears 192.168/16 via private peering
 - If the link breaks, backup is via AS110 and AS120 upstream ISPs

Transit and Local paths IXP Non-backup



Transit and Local paths Private Peering link Non-backup

 With this solution, a breakage in the IX means that local peering traffic will still back up over private peering link

This link may be metered

• AS110 Solution:

Router C does not announce 192.168/16 by iBGP to the other routers in AS110

If IX breaks, there is no route to AS120

Unless Router C is announcing a default route

Whereby traffic will get to Router C anyway, and policy based routing will have to be used to avoid ingress traffic from AS110 going on the private peering link

Transit and Local paths Private Peering link Non-backup



Transit and Local paths Summary

- Not allowing BGP backup to "do the right thing" can rapidly get messy
- But previous two scenarios are requested quite often
 - Billing of traffic seems to be more important than providing connectivity
 - But thinking through the steps required shows that there is usually a solution without having to resort to extreme measures



Backbone Hijacks

- Can happen when peering ISPs:
 - are present at two or more IXPs
 - have two or more private peering links
- Usually goes undetected
 - Can be spotted by traffic flow monitoring tools
- Done because:
 - "Their backbone is cheaper than mine"
- Caused by misconfiguration of private peering routers



 AS110 peering routers at the IXPs should only carry AS110 originated routes

When AS120 points static route for an AS120 destination to AS110, the peering routers have no destination apart from back towards AS120, so the packets will oscillate until TTL expiry

When AS120 points static route for a non-AS110 destination to AS110, the peering routers have no destination at all, so the packet is dropped

Same applies for private peering scenarios

Private peering routers should only carry the prefixes being exchanged in the peering

Otherwise abuses are possible

What if AS110 is providing the full routing table to AS120?

AS110 is the transit provider for AS120



AS120 deliberately uses AS110's backbone as transit path between two points in the local network

- Router C carries a full routing table on it
 - So we can't use the earlier trick of only carrying AS110 prefixes

Reverse path forwarding check?

- But that only checks the packet source address, not the destination and the source is fine!
- BGP Weight
 - Recall that BGP weight was used to separate local and transit traffic in the previous example
 - If all prefixes learned from AS120 on Router C had local weight increased, then destination is back out the incoming interface
 - And the same can be done on Router B

Avoiding "Backbone Hijack" Summary

- These are but two examples of many possible scenarios which have become frequently asked questions
- Solution is often a lot simpler than imagined

BGP Weight, selective announcement by iBGP, simple network redesigns...

Summary

Summary

- Multihoming is not hard, really...
 Keep It Simple & Stupid!
- Full routing table is rarely required
 - A default is often just as good
 - If customers want 170k prefixes, charge them money for it

Presentation Slides

Available on

ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com

/pfs/seminars/NANOG35-BGP-Multihoming.pdf

And on the NANOG 35 meeting pages at http://www.nanog.org/mtg-0510/pdf/smith.pdf



BGP Multihoming Techniques

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com> NANOG35 23-25 October 2005 Los Angeles