BGP Multihoming

APRICOT 2001 Tutorial – Kuala Lumpur 1st March 2001

CISCO SYSTEMS

Introduction

• Presenter:

Philip Smith, Consulting Engineer Office of the CTO, Cisco Systems e-mail: pfs@cisco.com

Please ask questions



Best Current Practices

- Multihoming Examples
- Transit and IXPs
- Some more Examples

Best Current Practices



What is **BGP** for??

What is an IGP not for?

www.cisco.com

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

 Internal Routing Protocols (IGPs) examples are ISIS and OSPF used for carrying infrastructure addresses
 NOT used for carrying Internet prefixes or customer prefixes

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry

some/all Internet prefixes across backbone

customer prefixes

eBGP used to

exchange prefixes with other ASes implement routing policy

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

• DO NOT:

distribute BGP prefixes into an IGP distribute IGP routes into BGP use an IGP to carry customer prefixes • YOUR NETWORK WILL NOT SCALE

Announcing Prefixes

Route Aggregation

www.cisco.com

Aggregation

- ISPs receive address block from Regional Registry or upstream provider
- Aggregation means announcing the address block only, not subprefixes
- Aggregate should be generated internally

Configuring Aggregation -Cisco IOS

- ISP has 221.10.0.0/19 address block
- To put into BGP as an aggregate:

router bgp 100

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

• The static route is a "pull up" route

more specific prefixes within this address block ensure connectivity to ISP's customers

"longest match lookup"

Aggregation

- Address block should be announced to the Internet as an aggregate
- Subprefixes of address blocks should NOT be announced to the Internet unless they provide additional and unique reachability information

Announcing Aggregate -Cisco IOS

Configuration Example

```
router bgp 100
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list out-filter out
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list out-filter permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list out-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

www.cisco.com

Announcing an Aggregate

- ISPs who don't and won't aggregate are held in poor regard by community
- Registries' minimum allocation size is now a /20

no real reason to see anything longer than a /21 prefix in the Internet

BUT there are currently almost 60000 /24s!

Receiving Prefixes

- ISPs should only accept prefixes which have been assigned or allocated to their downstream peer
- For example

downstream has 220.50.0.0/20 block should only announce this to peers peers should only accept this from them

Receiving Prefixes - Cisco IOS

Configuration Example on upstream

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list customer in
!
ip prefix-list customer permit 220.50.0.0/20
ip prefix-list customer deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

- Not desirable unless really necessary special circumstances
- Ask upstream to either: originate a default-route announce one prefix you can use as default

Downstream Router Configuration

```
router bgp 100
```

```
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
```

```
neighbor 221.5.7.1 remote-as 101
```

```
neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list infilt in
```

```
neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list outfilt out
```

```
!
```

```
ip prefix-list infilt permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list infilt deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
!
ip prefix-list outfilt permit 221.10.0.0/19
```

```
ip prefix-list outfilt deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Upstream Router Configuration

router bgp 101 neighbor 221.5.7.2 remote-as 100 neighbor 221.5.7.2 default-originate neighbor 221.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-in in neighbor 221.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-out out ! ip prefix-list cust-in permit 221.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list cust-in deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32 ! ip prefix-list cust-out permit 0.0.0.0/0

ip prefix-list cust-out deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32

www.cisco.com

- If necessary to receive prefixes from upstream provider, care is required
 - don't accept RFC1918 etc prefixes
 - don't accept your own prefix
 - don't accept default (unless you need it)
 - don't accept prefixes longer than /24

Receiving Prefixes

router bgp 100 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 neighbor 221.5.7.1 remote-as 101 neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list in-filter in I ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 ! Block default ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 10.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 127.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 169.254.0.0/16 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 172.16.0.0/12 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.0.2.0/24 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.168.0.0/16 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 221.10.0.0/19 le 32 ! Block local prefix ip prefix-list in-filter deny 224.0.0.0/3 le 32 ! Block multicast ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 ge 25 ! Block prefixes >/24 ip prefix-list in-filter permit 0.0.0.0/0 le 32

Receiving Prefixes

• The prefix-list in-filter

MUST be applied to all BGP peerings where you are not specifically filtering prefixes

CAN be applied inbound and outbound

(but watch the logic)

 Motivation behind filtering these prefixes is documented in:

http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-manning-dsua-06.txt

Routing and iBGP

What goes in there and how?

www.cisco.com

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

- Use iBGP to carry customer prefixes
 Do not use IGP
- Point static route to customer interface
- Use BGP network statement
- As long as static route exists (interface active), prefix will be in BGP

Router Configuration network statement

• Example:

```
interface loopback 0
 ip address 215.17.3.1 255.255.255.255
interface Serial 5/0
 ip unnumbered loopback 0
 ip verify unicast reverse-path
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
router bgp 100
network 215.34.10.0 mask 255.255.252.0
```

www.cisco.com

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

 interface flap will result in prefix withdraw and reannounce

use "ip route...permanent"

 many ISPs use redistribute static rather than network statement
 only use this if you understand why

Router Configuration redistribute static

• Example:

```
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
router bqp 100
 redistribute static route-map static-to-bgp
<snip>
route-map static-to-bgp permit 10
match ip address prefix-list ISP-block
 set origin igp
<snip>
ip prefix-list ISP-block permit 215.34.10.0/22 le 30
```

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

Route-map ISP-block can be used for many things:

setting communities and other attributes setting origin code to IGP, etc

 Be careful with prefix-lists and route-maps absence of either/both means all statically routed prefixes go into iBGP

Multihoming



Multihoming Definition

- More than one link external to the local network
 - two or more links to the same ISP two or more links to different ISPs
- Usually two external facing routers one router gives link and provider redundancy only

Multihoming

- The scenarios described here apply equally well to end sites being customers of ISPs and ISPs being customers of other ISPs
- Implementation detail may be different

end site ® ISPISP controls configISP1 ® ISP2ISPs share config

AS Numbers

- An Autonomous System Number is required by BGP
- Obtained from upstream ISP or Regional Registry
- Necessary when you have links to more than one ISP or exchange point

Configuring Policy

• Assumptions:

prefix-lists are used throughout

easier/better/faster than access-lists

Three BASIC Principles
 prefix-lists to filter prefixes
 filter-lists to filter ASNs

route-maps to apply policy

www.cisco.com

Originating Prefixes

Basic Assumptions

MUST announce assigned address block to Internet

MAY also announce subprefixes - reachability is not guaranteed

RIR minimum allocation is /20 several ISPs filter RIR blocks on this boundary - "Net Police"

Part of the "Net Police" prefix list

!! RIPE

ip prefix-list FILTER permit 62.0.0.0/8 ge 12 le 20
ip prefix-list FILTER permit 193.0.0.0/8 ge 12 le 20
ip prefix-list FILTER permit 194.0.0.0/7 ge 12 le 20
ip prefix-list FILTER permit 212.0.0.0/7 ge 12 le 20
!! APNIC

ip prefix-list FILTER permit 61.0.0.0/8 ge 12 le 20
ip prefix-list FILTER permit 202.0.0.0/7 ge 12 le 20
ip prefix-list FILTER permit 210.0.0.0/7 ge 12 le 20
!! ARIN

ip prefix-list FILTER permit 63.0.0.0/8 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 64.0.0.0/8 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 199.0.0.0/8 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 200.0.0/8 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 204.0.0.0/6 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 208.0.0.0/7 le 20 ip prefix-list FILTER permit 216.0.0/8 le 20
"Net Police" prefix list issues

- meant to "punish" ISPs who won't and don't aggregate
- impacts legitimate multihoming
- impacts regions where domestic backbone is unavailable or costs \$\$\$ compared with international bandwidth
- hard to maintain requires updating when RIRs start allocating from new address blocks
- Do NOT do it unless consequences understood

Multihoming Options

Multihoming Scenarios

- Stub network
- Multi-homed stub network
- Multi-homed network
- Configuration Options

Stub Network



- No need for BGP
- Point static default to upstream ISP
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

Multi-homed Stub Network



- Use BGP (not IGP or static) to loadshare
- Use private AS (ASN > 64511)
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy



Many situations possible

multiple sessions to same ISP

secondary for backup only

load-share between primary and secondary

selectively use different ISPs

APRICOT 2001

Multiple Sessions to an ISP





Multiple Sessions to an ISP ebgp multihop

www.cisco.com

- eBGP to loopback addresses
- eBGP prefixes learned with loopback address as next hop

router bgp 201
neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 200
neighbor 1.1.1.1 ebgp-multihop 5
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/0
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/1
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/2

ISP

AS 201

1.1.1.1

Multiple Sessions to an ISP bgp multipath



Multiple Sessions to an ISP

Use eBGP multi-path to install multiple paths in IP table

router bgp 201

maximum-path <1-6>

Load share over the alternate paths per destination loadsharing



Multiple Sessions to an ISP

- Simplest scheme is to use defaults
- Learn/advertise prefixes for better control



Multiple Sessions to ISPs

 Planning and some work required to achieve load sharing

Point default towards one ISP

Learn selected prefixes from second ISP

Modify the number of prefixes learnt to achieve acceptable load sharing

No magic solution

Private-AS

Applications

ISP with singlehomed customers

Enterprise network with several regions and connections to the Internet only in the core

Within a BGP Confederation

65001 193.0.32.0/24 65002 193.0.33.0/24 193.0.33.0/24 65003 193.2.35.0/24 193.1.32.0/22 1880

Private-AS Removal

• neighbor x.x.x.x remove-private-AS

• Rules:

available for eBGP neighbors only

if the update has AS_PATH made up of private-AS numbers, the private-AS will be dropped

if the AS_PATH includes private and public AS numbers, private AS number will not be removed...it is a configuration error!

if AS_PATH contains the AS number of the eBGP neighbor, the private-AS numbers will not be removed

if used with confederations, it will work as long as the private AS numbers are after the confederation portion of the AS_PATH

Multihoming Scenarios

IOS Configuration Examples

www.cisco.com

Multihoming Scenarios

To the Same ISP

One link primary, other as backup

Loadsharing

RFC2270 multihoming

To different ISPs

One link primary, other as backup

Loadsharing

Communities for multihoming

One link primary, the other link backup only

www.cisco.com

 Can use BGP for this to aid loadsharing

use a private AS (ASN > 64511)

upstream ISP proxy aggregates

in other words, announces only your address block to the Internet (as would be done if you had one statically routed connection)



AS109 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link primary link makes standard announcement backup link increases metric on outbound, and reduces local-pref on inbound
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

Router A Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.2 description RouterC

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in

ļ

ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!

Router B Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.6 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.6 description RouterD

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 route-map routerD-out out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.6 route-map routerD-in in

..next slide

Ì

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ļ
route-map routerD-out permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
 set metric 10
route-map routerD-out permit 20
ļ
route-map routerD-in permit 10
 set local-preference 90
```

Router C Configuration (main link)

```
router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 65534
neighbor 222.222.10.1 default-originate
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

Router D Configuration (backup link)

router bgp 109 neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 65534 neighbor 222.222.10.5 default-originate neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list Customer in neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list default out ! ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router E is AS109 border router

removes prefixes in the private AS from external announcements

implements the proxy aggregation for the customer prefixes

Router E Configuration

router bgp 109

neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110

neighbor 222.222.10.17 remove-private-AS

neighbor 222.222.10.17 prefix-list Customer out

!

ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19

Private AS still visible inside AS109

With Redundancy and Loadsharing

www.cisco.com

Two links to the same ISP (with redundancy)



AS109 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

Loadsharing to the same ISP

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link

basic inbound loadsharing

assumes equal circuit capacity and even spread of traffic across address block

 Vary the split until "perfect" loadsharing achieved

Router A Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0 neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 109 neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list routerC out neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0 ip prefix-list routerC permit 221.10.0.0/20 ip prefix-list routerC permit 221.10.0.0/19 I ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

www.cisco.com

Router B Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

network 221.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0

neighbor 222.222.10.6 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list routerD out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list default in

ļ

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 221.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list routerD permit 221.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip route 221.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0

www.cisco.com

Loadsharing to the same ISP

Default route for outbound traffic?

Use default-information originate for the IGP and rely on IGP metrics for nearest exit

e.g. on router A:

router ospf 65534

default-information originate metric 2 metric-type 1

Router C Configuration

router bgp 109

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 65534

neighbor 222.222.10.1 default-originate

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list Customer in

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default out

ļ

ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19 le 20

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

Router D Configuration

router bgp 109

neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 65534

neighbor 222.222.10.5 default-originate

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list Customer in

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list default out

ļ

ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19 le 20

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router D only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

Router E is AS109 border router

removes subprefixes in the private AS from external announcements

removes the private AS from external announcement of the customer /19
Two links to the same ISP (with redundancy)

Router E Configuration

router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 222.222.10.17 prefix-list Customer out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19

• Private AS still visible inside AS109

Loadsharing to the same ISP

- Loadsharing configuration is only on customer router
- Upstream ISP has to

remove customer subprefixes from external announcements

remove private AS from external announcements

Could also use BGP communities

Two links to the same ISP

Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)



- Customer announcements as per previous example
- Use the same private AS for each customer
 - documented in RFC2270
 - address space is not overlapping
 - each customer hears default only
- Router An and Bn configuration same as Router A and B previously

APRICOT 2001 © 2001, Cisco Systems, Inc

Two links to the same ISP

Router A1 Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0 neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 109 neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list routerC out neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0 ip prefix-list routerC permit 221.10.0.0/20 ip prefix-list routerC permit 221.10.0.0/19 I ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0

Two links to the same ISP

Router B1 Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 network 221.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0 neighbor 222.222.10.6 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list routerD out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list default in

l

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 221.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list routerD permit 221.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip route 221.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0

- Router C Configuration
 - router bgp 109
 - neighbor bgp-customers peer-group
 - neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534
 - neighbor bgp-customers default-originate
 - neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out
 - neighbor 222.222.10.1 peer-group bgp-customers
 - neighbor 222.222.10.1 description Customer One
 - neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list Customer1 in
 - neighbor 222.222.10.9 peer-group bgp-customers
 - neighbor 222.222.10.9 description Customer Two
 - neighbor 222.222.10.9 prefix-list Customer2 in

neighbor 222.222.10.17 peer-group bgp-customers
neighbor 222.222.10.17 description Customer Three
neighbor 222.222.10.17 prefix-list Customer3 in
!

ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 221.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 221.16.64.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 221.14.192.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

- Router D Configuration
 - router bgp 109
 - neighbor bgp-customers peer-group
 - neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534
 - neighbor bgp-customers default-originate
 - neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out
 - neighbor 222.222.10.5 peer-group bgp-customers
 - neighbor 222.222.10.5 description Customer One
 - neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list Customer1 in
 - neighbor 222.222.10.13 peer-group bgp-customers
 - neighbor 222.222.10.13 description Customer Two
 - neighbor 222.222.10.13 prefix-list Customer2 in

neighbor 222.222.10.21 peer-group bgp-customers
neighbor 222.222.10.21 description Customer Three
neighbor 222.222.10.21 prefix-list Customer3 in
!

ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 221.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 221.16.64.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 221.14.192.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router D only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

Router E Configuration is as previously

assumes customer address space is not part of upstream's address block

```
router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 222.222.10.17 prefix-list Customers out
!
ip prefix-list Customers permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 221.16.64.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 221.14.192.0/19
```

Private AS still visible inside AS109

 If customers' prefixes come from ISP's address block

do NOT announce them to the Internet

announce ISP aggregate only

• Router E configuration:

```
router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 222.222.10.17 prefix-list my-aggregate out
!
```

```
ip prefix-list my-aggregate permit 221.8.0.0/13
```

Multihoming Summary

- Use private AS for multihoming to upstream
- Leak subprefixes to upstream only to aid loadsharing
- Upstream router E configuration is uniform across all scenarios

Two links to different ISPs

Two links to different ISPs

Use Public ASes

or use private AS if agreed with the other ISP

Address space comes from

both upstreams or

Regional Internet Registry

Configuration concepts very similar

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link

basic inbound loadsharing

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other ISP ensures continued connectivity



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 107
 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
 neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 109
 neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list firstblock out
 neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in
ļ
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ļ
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 221.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 221.10.0.0/19
```

Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 107
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

network 221.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0

neighbor 220.1.5.1 remote-as 108

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list secondblock out

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list default in

```
!
```

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

```
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 221.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 221.10.0.0/19
```

I

Router C Configuration

router bgp 109

neighbor 221.10.1.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 221.10.1.1 default-originate

neighbor 221.10.1.1 prefix-list AS107cust in

neighbor 221.10.1.1 prefix-list default-out out

- ļ
- Router C only announces default to AS 107
- Router C only accepts AS107's prefix block

Router D Configuration

router bgp 108

neighbor 220.1.5.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 220.1.5.1 default-originate

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list AS107cust in

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list default-out out

l

- Router D only announces default to AS 107
- Router D only accepts AS107's prefix block

Two links to different ISPs

One link primary, the other link backup only

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

primary link makes standard announcement

backup link lengthens the AS PATH by using AS PATH prepend

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity



Router A Configuration

router bgp 107 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 109 neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in ! ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router B Configuration

router bgp 107
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 220.1.5.1 remote-as 108
neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list aggregate out
neighbor 220.1.5.1 route-map routerD-out out
neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 220.1.5.1 route-map routerD-in in
!

..next slide

Router B Configuration

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
route-map routerD-out permit 10
set as-path prepend 107 107 107
!
route-map routerD-in permit 10
set local-preference 80
```

Two links to different ISPs More Controlled Loadsharing

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

On first link, announce /19 as normal

On second link, announce /19 with longer AS PATH, and announce one /20 subprefix

controls loadsharing between upstreams and the Internet

- Vary the subprefix size and AS PATH length until "perfect" loadsharing achieved
- Still require redundancy!

APRICOT 2001 © 2001, Cisco Systems, Inc.



Router A Configuration

router bgp 107
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 109
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19

Router B Configuration

router bgp 107
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
network 221.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
neighbor 220.1.5.1 remote-as 108
neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list subblocks out
neighbor 220.1.5.1 route-map routerD out
!

..next slide..

```
route-map routerD permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
set as-path prepend 107 107
route-map routerD permit 20
!
ip prefix-list subblocks permit 221.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
```

Loadsharing Using Communities 4 links - Private AS


AS109 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as four /21s, one on each link

basic inbound loadsharing

assumes equal circuit capacity and even spread of traffic across address block

 Vary the split until "perfect" loadsharing achieved

use the no-export community for subprefixes

APRICOT 2001 © 2001, Cisco Systems, Inc.

www.cisco.com

Router A Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.248.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.2 send-community

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list subblocks1 out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 route-map routerC-out out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in

I

..next slide

ip prefix-list subblocks1 permit 221.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list subblocks1 permit 221.10.0.0/21 I ip prefix-list firstblock permit 221.10.0.0/21 ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0 I route-map routerC-out permit 10 match ip address prefix-list firstblock set community no-export route-map routerC-out permit 20

Router B Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

network 221.10.24.0 mask 255.255.248.0

neighbor 222.222.20.2 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.20.2 send-community

neighbor 222.222.20.2 prefix-list subblocks2 out

neighbor 222.222.20.2 route-map routerD-out out

neighbor 222.222.20.2 prefix-list default in

I

..next slide

```
ip prefix-list subblocks2 permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list subblocks2 permit 221.10.24.0/21
I
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 221.10.24.0/21
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ļ
route-map routerD-out permit 10
match ip address prefix-list secondblock
 set community no-export
route-map routerD-out permit 20
```

Router E Configuration

router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110
neigbhor 222.222.10.17 remove-private-AS
!

- Router E removes the private AS from external announcements
- Router E automatically removes subprefixes with no-export community set
- Private AS still visible inside AS109

- Router C and D configuration is as previously
- AS109 routers will not advertise prefixes marked with community noexport to other ASes
- AS109 routers still need to filter the private AS
- Only a single /19 prefix is announced to the Internet - no routing table bloat! :-)

APRICOT 2001 © 2001, Cisco Systems, Inc.

www.cisco.com

Public AS

If customer has public AS, configuration is the same

Don't need remove-private-AS configuration command

Enterprise Multihoming

Enterprise Multihoming

- Common scenario in Internet today
- More and more non-SPs multihoming for: service provider redundancy

link redundancy

Issues on Internet today:

Routing Table size accelerating

more and more /24 prefixes appearing in Internet Routing Table



Enterprise Multihoming

• The following example

could apply to smaller ISPs who don't yet have their own address block

requires BGP but a private AS (ASN >64511) can and should be used

is good for the health of the Internet

Medium/Large ISP Multihoming

- ISPs should obtain their own address block and ASN
 - Get it from RIR
 - Makes multihoming easier
 - Makes changing upstreams easier
 - Does not cause so much fragmentation in Internet Routing Table

Enterprise Multihoming Example

www.cisco.com

Enterprise Multihoming

Common situation is enterprise multihoming

address space used by enterprise comes from both upstream ISPs

multihoming and loadsharing more difficult

want to avoid leaking subprefixes of upstream provider address space when possible

require provider redundancy (not just link redundancy)

Enterprise Multihoming

 Address space from upstream should match link bandwidth to upstream, e.g.

ISP1 ® Enterprise = 256kbps ® /22

ISP2 ® Enterprise = 128kbps **®** /23

assumes address space is uniformly distributed across network

assumes that there is a requirement for 3x /23 in the Enterprise backbone

 Next example assumes equal bandwidth links from Enterprise to ISP1 and ISP2

Enterprise Multihoming Conditional Advertisement

 New conditional advertisement feature in Cisco IOS

loadsharing under normal conditions

subprefixes only announced in failure scenarios

requires upstreams to announce only one prefix to enterprise border network



- ISP1 has 220.10.0/16 address block
- ISP2 has 222.5.0.0/16 address block
- Enterprise customer multihomes upstreams don't announce subprefixes can use private AS (ASN>64511)
 R2 and R4 originate default in their IGP outbound traffic uses nearest exit (IGP metrics)

www.cisco.com

Router2 configuration:

```
router bqp 65534
 network 220.10.4.0 mask 255.255.254.0
 network 222.5.64.0 mask 255.255.254.0
 neighbor <R1> remote-as 150
 neighbor <R1> prefix-list isp1-in in
 neighbor <R1> prefix-list isp1-out out
 neighbor <R1> advertise-map isp2-sb non-exist-map isp2-bb
 neighbor <R4> remote-as 65534
 neighbor <R4> update-source loopback 0
ļ
ip route 220.10.4.0 255.255.254.0 null0 250
```

APRICOT 2001 © 2001. Cisco Systems. Inc.

www.cisco.com

```
ip route 222.5.64.0 255.255.254.0 null0 250
ip prefix-list isp1-out permit 220.10.4.0/23
ip prefix-list isp2-out permit 222.5.64.0/23
ip prefix-list ispl-in permit 220.10.0.0/16
ip prefix-list isp2-in permit 222.5.0.0/16
I
route-map isp2-sb permit 10
match ip address prefix-list isp2-out
ļ
route-map isp2-bb permit 10
match ip address prefix-list isp2-in
```

- Router2 peers iBGP with Router4 hears ISP2's /16 prefix
- Router2 peers eBGP with Router1 hears ISP1's /16 prefix only announces 220.10.4.0/23 only

Link Failure



Link Failure

 Peering between Router 4 and Router3 (ISP2) goes down

222.5.0.0/16 prefix withdrawn

- Conditional advertisement process activated Router2 starts to announce 222.5.64.0/23 to Router1
- Connectivity for Enterprise maintained

Enterprise Multihoming

- Conditional advertisement useful when address space comes from both upstreams
 - no subprefixes leaked to Internet unless in failure situation
- Alternative backup mechanism would be to leak /23 prefixes with longer AS path routing table bloat, reachability issues

What goes in the Internet Routing Registry?

- ISP1 and ISP2 obviously put their own address blocks as route objects in the IRR
- ISP1 will put the ISP1 subprefix which Enterprise will announce into the IRR with origin-as of ISP2
- ISP2 will put the ISP2 subprefix which Enterprise will announce into the IRR with origin-as of ISP1
- No inconsistent origin AS, no "problem"

BGP and the Internet

Advanced Community Usage



- Informational RFC
- Describes how to implement loadsharing and backup on multiple inter-AS links

BGP communities used to determine local preference in upstream's network

- Gives control to the customer
- Simplifies upstream's configuration simplifies network operation!

Community values defined to have particular meanings:

ASx:100 set local pref 100 preferred route

set local pref 80

ASx:90 set local pref 90 backup route if dualhomed on ASx

main link is to another ISP with same AS path length

set local pref 70 main link is to another ISP

ASx:80

ASx:70

Sample Customer Router Configuration

```
router bap 107
 neighbor x.x.x.x remote-as 109
 neighbor x.x.x.x description Backup ISP
 neighbor x.x.x.x route-map config-community out
 neighbor x.x.x.x send-community
I
ip as-path access-list 20 permit ^$
ip as-path access-list 20 deny .*
route-map config-community permit 10
match as-path 20
 set community 109:90
```

www.cisco.com

Sample ISP Router Configuration

! Homed to another ISP

```
ip community-list 70 permit 109:70
```

! Homed to another ISP with equal ASPATH length

```
ip community-list 80 permit 109:80
```

```
! Customer backup routes
```

```
ip community-list 90 permit 109:90
```

```
l
```

```
route-map set-customer-local-pref permit 10
match community 70
```

```
set local-preference 70
```

Sample ISP Router Configuration

```
route-map set-customer-local-pref permit 20
match community 80
 set local-preference 80
route-map set-customer-local-pref permit 30
match community 90
 set local-preference 90
route-map set-customer-local-pref permit 40
 set local-preference 100
```

www.cisco.com

Supporting RFC1998 many ISPs do, more should check AS object in the Internet Routing Registry if you do, insert comment in AS object

Two links to the same ISP

One link primary, the other link backup only

Two links to the same ISP



AS109 proxy aggregates for AS 65534

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

primary link makes standard announcement

backup link sends community

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity
Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Router A Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.2 description RouterC

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in

ļ

ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Router B Configuration

router bgp 65534

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.6 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.6 description RouterD

neighbor 222.222.10.6 send-community

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 route-map routerD-out out

neighbor 222.222.10.6 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.6 route-map routerD-in in

I

..next slide

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ļ
route-map routerD-out permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
 set community 109:90
route-map routerD-out permit 20
ļ
route-map routerD-in permit 10
 set local-preference 90
```

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Router C Configuration (main link)

```
router bgp 109
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 65534
neighbor 222.222.10.1 default-originate
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Router D Configuration (backup link)

```
router bgp 109
```

neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 65534

neighbor 222.222.10.5 default-originate

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list Customer in

neighbor 222.222.10.5 route-map bgp-cust-in in

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list default out

ļ

```
ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

```
..next slide
```

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

```
ip prefix-list Customer permit 221.10.0.0/19
  ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
  I
  ip community-list 90 permit 109:90
  ļ
<snip>
  route-map bgp-cust-in permit 30
  match community 90
   set local-preference 90
  route-map bgp-cust-in permit 40
   set local-preference 100
```

Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

Router E Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

```
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
```

```
neighbor 222.222.10.17 remote-as 110
```

```
neighbor 222.222.10.17 filter-list 1 out
```

```
ļ
```

```
ip as-path access-list 1 deny ^(65534_)+$
```

ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^\$

```
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

- Router E removes prefixes in the private AS from external announcements
- Private AS still visible inside AS109

Two links to different ISPs

One link primary, the other link backup only

www.cisco.com



www.cisco.com

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

main link sends community 109:100 - this sets local pref in AS109 to 100

backup link sends community 108:80 - this sets local pref in AS108 to 80

 When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

- Note that this assumes that AS109 and AS108 are interconnected
- If they are not, AS path length "stuffing" has to be used too

but that can also be done using communities on a per community basis

Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 107
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 222.222.10.1 route-map routerC-out out

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in

```
!
```

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

```
route-map routerC-out permit 10
```

```
set community 109:100
```

Router B Configuration

router bgp 107

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 220.1.5.1 remote-as 108

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list aggregate out

neighbor 220.1.5.1 route-map routerD-out out

neighbor 220.1.5.1 prefix-list default in

neighbor 220.1.5.1 route-map routerD-in in

..next slide

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
route-map routerD-out permit 10
set community 108:80
!
route-map routerD-in permit 10
set local-preference 80
```

Router D

sees path from router B with community 108:80 set - sets local preference to 80

sees path from peering with AS109 - default local preference is 100

local-pref comes before AS Path length

highest local-pref wins

traffic for AS107 is sent to AS109

• Router D

Only requires RFC1998 configuration no per customer configuration scalability!



 If AS107 wants to make the link to AS108 the main link

sends community 108:100 to router C

sends community 109:80 to router B

 AS108 and AS109 NOC intervention not required

Additional Community usage



Additional Communities

 RFC1998 is okay for "simple" multihomed customers

assumes that upstreams are interconnected

There are other additions to RFC1998 used by many ISPs

More Community Definitions

- ASx:140 set local pref 140
- ASx:130 set local pref 130
- ASx:120 set local pref 120 RFC1998 definitions>
- ASx:60 set local pref 60
- ASx:50 set local pref 50
- ASx:40 set local pref 40
- ASx:30 set local pref 30

set local pref high on upstreams set local pref low on upstreams more preferred (opposite to ASx:80)

ASx:90 but add 2 times AS PATH don't announce to any peer and set local pref high on upstreams and set local pref low on upstreams

(and variations on this theme depending on local conditions, e.g. IXPs, domestic vs. international transit, etc.)





Examples

• 109:60

set local pref low in AS109

prepend any announcements to peers of AS109 with 109_109 - AS109 is my backup transit AS

• 109:50

don't announce to any peer - used when you have good local connections to AS109 and better long distance via AS108





Communities

- Communities are fun! ②
- And they are extremely powerful tools
- Think about community policies, e.g. like these above
- Supporting extensive community usage makes customer configuration easy
- Watch out for routing loops!

Transit

Simple Example



Definition

Transit - carrying traffic across a network, usually for a fee

traffic and prefixes originating from one AS are carried across one or more intermediate ASes to reach their destination AS

ISP Transit Issues

- Only announce default to your BGP customers unless they need more prefixes
- Only accept the prefixes which your customer is entitled to originate
- If your customer hasn't told you he is providing transit, don't accept anything else



AS107 and AS109 are stub/customer ASes of AS108

they may have their own peerings with other ASes

minimal routing table desired

minimum complexity required



AS108 is transit provider between AS107 and AS109

www.cisco.com

Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 107
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 108

```
neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list upstream out
```

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list default in

```
!
```

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list upstream permit 221.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router B Configuration

router bgp 108

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 222.222.10.1 default-originate

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list Customer107 in

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default out

ip prefix-list Customer107 permit 221.10.0.0/19

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router B announces default to Router A, only accepts customer /19

I

Router C Configuration

router bgp 108

neighbor 222.222.20.1 remote-as 109

neighbor 222.222.20.1 default-originate

neighbor 222.222.20.1 prefix-list Customer109 in

neighbor 222.222.20.1 prefix-list default out

ip prefix-list Customer109 permit 219.0.0/19

ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router C announces default to Router D, only accepts customer /19

I

Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 219.0.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.20.2 remote-as 108

```
neighbor 222.222.20.2 prefix-list upstream out
```

neighbor 222.222.20.2 prefix-list default in

```
!
```

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list upstream permit 219.0.0.0/19
!
ip route 219.0.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```
ISP Transit

• This is simple case:

if AS107 or AS109 get another address block, it requires AS108 and their own filters to be changed

some ISP transit provider are better skilled at doing this than others!

May not scale if they are frequently adding new prefixes

Simple Example





Internet Exchange Point – common or neutral interconnect location where several ASes exchange routing information and traffic



Exchange point with 6 ASes present Layer 2 - ethernet switch
Each ISP peers with the other NO transit across the IXP allowed



each of these represents a border router in a different autonomous system

www.cisco.com

Exchange Point Router A configuration

```
interface fastethernet 0/0
   description Exchange Point LAN
   ip address 220.5.10.2 mask 255.255.255.224
   ip verify unicast reverse-path
   no ip directed-broadcast
   no ip proxy-arp
   no ip redirects
  ļ
  router bgp 107
   network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor ixp-peers peer-group
   neighbor ixp-peers soft-reconfiguration in
   neighbor ixp-peers prefix-list myprefixes out
. next slide
```

- neighbor 220.5.10.2 remote-as 108
- neighbor 222.5.10.2 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 222.5.10.2 prefix-list peer108 in
- neighbor 220.5.10.3 remote-as 109
- neighbor 222.5.10.3 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 222.5.10.3 prefix-list peer109 in
- neighbor 220.5.10.4 remote-as 110
- neighbor 222.5.10.4 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 222.5.10.4 prefix-list peer110 in
- neighbor 220.5.10.5 remote-as 111
- neighbor 222.5.10.5 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 222.5.10.5 prefix-list peer111 in
- neighbor 220.5.10.6 remote-as 112
- neighbor 222.5.10.6 peer-group ixp-peers
- neighbor 222.5.10.6 prefix-list peer112 in

www.cisco.com

! ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0 ! ip prefix-list myprefixes permit 221.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list peer108 permit 222.0.0.0/19 ip prefix-list peer109 permit 222.30.0.0/19 ip prefix-list peer110 permit 222.12.0.0/19 ip prefix-list peer111 permit 222.18.128.0/19 ip prefix-list peer112 permit 222.1.32.0/19 !

- Configuration of the other routers in the AS is similar in concept
- Notice inbound and outbound prefix filters

outbound announces myprefixes only inbound accepts peer prefixes only

Ethernet port configuration

use ip verify unicast reverse-path

helps prevent "stealing of bandwidth"

 IXP border router must NOT carry prefixes with origin outside local AS and IXP participant ASes

helps prevent "stealing of bandwidth"

- Issues:
 - AS107 needs to know all the prefixes its peers are announcing
 - New prefixes requires the prefix-lists to be updated
- Alternative solutions

Use the Internet Routing Registry to build prefix list

Use AS Path filters (could be risky)

www.cisco.com

BGP and the Internet

Service Provider Multihoming Practical Examples



Service Provider Multihoming

Examples

One upstream, one local peer

- One upstream, local exchange point
- Two upstreams, one local peer
- Two upstreams, one local and one regional peer
- US and regional upstreams, with local peers
- **IDC Multihoming**



Service Provider Multihoming One Upstream, One local peer

www.cisco.com

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept default route only from upstream
 - Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes from local peer
- Border routers talk iBGP with each other



Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 108

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list my-block out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list AS108-peer in

```
!
ip prefix-list AS108-peer permit 222.5.16.0/19
ip prefix-list AS108-peer permit 221.240.0.0/20
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
!
```

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

Router A – Alternative Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.2 remote-as 108

neighbor 222.222.10.2 prefix-list my-block out

neighbor 222.222.10.2 filter-list 10 in

```
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(108_)+$
```

ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
!

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

I

ļ

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-block out

!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

ļ

 Two configurations possible for Router A Filter-lists assume peer knows what they are doing

Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer

- Local traffic goes to and from local peer, everything else goes to upstream
- Routers A and C minimum requirements 2500/2600 with standard RAM

Service Provider Multihoming One Upstream, Local Exchange Point

- Announce /19 aggregate to every neighbouring AS
- Accept default route only from upstream

Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default

Accept all routes from IXP peers



Router A Configuration

interface fastethernet 0/0

description Exchange Point LAN

ip address 220.5.10.1 mask 255.255.255.224

ip verify unicast reverse-path

- no ip directed-broadcast
- no ip proxy-arp

no ip redirects

ļ

router bgp 109

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

..next slide

neighbor ixp-peers peer-group neighbor ixp-peers soft-reconfiguration in neighbor ixp-peers prefix-list my-block out neighbor 220.5.10.2 remote-as 100 neighbor 222.5.10.2 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 222.5.10.2 prefix-list peer100 in neighbor 220.5.10.3 remote-as 101 neighbor 222.5.10.3 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 222.5.10.3 prefix-list peer101 in neighbor 220.5.10.4 remote-as 102 neighbor 222.5.10.4 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 222.5.10.4 prefix-list peer102 in ..next slide

```
neighbor 220.5.10.5 remote-as 103
neighbor 222.5.10.5 peer-group ixp-peers
neighbor 222.5.10.5 prefix-list peer103 in
neighbor 220.5.10.6 remote-as 104
neighbor 222.5.10.6 peer-group ixp-peers
neighbor 222.5.10.6 prefix-list peer104 in
I
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
I
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer100 permit 222.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer101 permit 222.30.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer102 permit 222.12.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer103 permit 222.18.128.0/19
```

•••

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-block out

```
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

ļ

- Note Router A configuration
 Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer
 uRPF on the FastEthernet interface
- IXP traffic goes to and from local IXP, everything else goes to upstream
- Minimum requirements
 Router A: 2500/2600 with large RAM
 Router C: 2500/2600 with standard RAM

Service Provider Multihoming Two Upstreams, One local peer

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept default route only from upstreams

Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default

Accept all routes from local peer



Router A

Same routing configuration as in example with one upstream and one local peer

Same hardware configuration

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-block out

! ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

ļ

Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 106

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list my-block out

! ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

ļ

- This is the simple configuration for Router C and D
- Traffic out to the two upstreams will take nearest exit

Inexpensive routers required

This is not useful in practice especially for international links

Loadsharing needs to be better

Better configuration options:

Accept full routing from both upstreams

Expensive!

Accept default from one upstream and some routes from the other upstream

Best compromise!
Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 109
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list rfc1918-deny in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
neighbor 222.222.10.1 route-map AS107-loadshare in
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
! See earlier presentation for RFC1918 list
ļ
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
..next slide
```

```
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(107_)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(107_)+_[0-9]+$
!
route-map AS107-loadshare permit 10
match ip as-path 10
set local-preference 120
route-map AS107-loadshare permit 20
set local-preference 80
```

• Router C configuration:

Accept full routes from AS107

- Tag prefixes originated by AS107 and AS107's neighbouring ASes with local preference 120
- Remaining prefixes tagged with local preference of 80
- Traffic to those ASes will go over AS107 link
- Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS106
- Router D configuration same as Router C without the route-map

- Full routes from upstreams
 - Expensive needs 128Mbytes RAM today
 - Need to play preference games
 - Previous example is only an example real life will need improved fine-tuning!
 - Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see start of tutorial for examples

Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

```
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 107
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list rfc1918-nodef-deny in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
neighbor 222.222.10.1 filter-list 10 in
neighbor 222.222.10.1 route-map tag-default-low in
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
! See earlier presentation for RFC1918 list
!
```

```
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

```
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(107_)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(107_)+_[0-9]+$
!
route-map tag-default-low permit 10
match ip address prefix-list default
set local-preference 80
route-map tag-default-low permit 20
!
```

Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 109
```

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 106

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list default in

neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list my-block out

! ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19

```
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

ļ

- Router C configuration:
 - Accept full routes from AS107
 - (or get them to send less)
 - Filter ASNs so only AS107 and AS107's neighbouring ASes are accepted
 - Allow default, and set it to local preference 80
 - Traffic to those ASes will go over AS107 link
 - Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS106
 - If AS106 link fails, backup via AS107 and viceversa

Partial routes from upstreams

Not expensive – only carry the routes necessary for loadsharing

Need to filter on AS paths

Previous example is only an example – real life will need improved fine-tuning!

Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see earlier presentation for examples

Service Provider Multihoming

Two Upstreams, One regional peer, One local peer

Two Upstreams, One Regional and One Local Peer

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept default route only from upstreams

Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default

- Accept all routes from local peer
- Accept all routes from regional peer

Two Upstreams, One Regional and One Local Peer



Two Upstreams, one Regional and One Local Peer

Router A

Same routing configuration as in previous examples

Same hardware configuration

Two Upstreams, one Regional and One Local Peer

Router B –Configuration

```
router bgp 109
 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
 neighbor 222.222.10.5 remote-as 110
 neighbor 222.222.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
 neighbor 222.222.10.5 filter-list 10 in
Ĭ
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(110 )+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(110 )+ [0-9]+$
ip prefix-list my-block permit 221.10.0.0/19
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Two Upstreams, one Regional and One Local Peer

Configuration of Router B

Take local AS from the regional peer

Also take regional peer's customer and other ASes they give

Local and regional traffic stays in the region

The two upstreams use similar configuration to previously, loadsharing as required.

Service Provider Multihoming

Two US upstreams, two regional upstreams, and local peers

US and Regional Upstreams, Local Peers

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Accept partial/default routes from upstreams

For default, use 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default

- Accept all routes from local peer
- Accept all partial routes from regional upstreams
- This is more complex, but a very typical scenario

APRICOT 2001 © 2001, Cisco Systems, Inc.

US and Regional Upstreams, Local Peers



 Router A – local private peer Accept all (local) routes Local traffic stays local
 Use prefix and/or AS-path filters
 Set >100 local preference on inbound announcements

Router F – local IXP peering
 Accept all (local) routes
 Local traffic stays local
 Use prefix and/or AS-path filters
 Set >100 local preference on inbound announcements

Router B – regional upstream

They provide transit to Internet, but longer AS path than US Upstreams

Accept all regional routes from them

e.g. ^110_[0-9]+\$

Ask them to send default, or send a network you can use as default

Set local pref on "default" to 60

Will provide backup to Internet only when direct US links go down

Router E – regional upstream

They provide transit to Internet, but longer AS path than US Upstreams

Accept all regional routes from them

e.g. ^111_[0-9]+\$

Ask them to send default, or send a network you can use as default

Set local pref on "default" to 50

Will provide backup to Internet only when direct US links go down

Router C – first US upstream

Accept all their customer and AS neighbour routes from them

e.g. ^107_[0-9]+\$

Ask them to send default, or send a network you can use as default

Set local pref on "default" to 80

Will provide backup to Internet only when link to second US upstream goes down

Router D – second US upstream

Ask them to send default, or send a network you can use as default

This has local preference 100 by default

All traffic without any more specific path will go out this way

US and Regional Upstreams, Local Peers - Summary

- Local traffic goes to local peer and IXP
- Regional traffic goes to two regional upstreams
- Everything else is shared between the two US upstreams
- To modify loadsharing tweak what is heard from the two regionals and the first US upstream

Best way is through modifying the AS-path filter

US and Regional Upstreams, Local Peers

- What about outbound announcement strategy?
 - This is to determine incoming traffic flows
 - **/19 aggregate must be announced to everyone!**
 - /20 or /21 more specifics can be used to improve or modify loadsharing
 - See the multihoming presentation for hints and ideas

- What about unequal circuit capacity? AS-path filters are very useful
- What if upstream will only give me full routing table or nothing

AS-path and prefix filters are very useful

Service Provider Multihoming

IDC Multihoming

- IDCs typically are not RIR members so are not allocated their own address block
- Smaller address blocks being announced

Address space comes from both upstreams

Should be apportioned according to size of circuit to upstream

- Outbound traffic paths matter
- Example has two upstreams and two local peers

Two Upstreams, Two Local Peers - IDC



Assigned /24 from AS107 and /23 from AS106.

Circuit to AS107 is 2Mbps, circuit to AS106 is 4Mbps

Router A and B configuration

In: Should accept all routes from AS108 and AS110

Out: Should announce all address space to AS108 and AS110

Straightforward

Router C configuration In: Accept partial routes from AS107 e.g. ^107_[0-9]+\$ In: Ask for a route to use as default set local preference on default to 80 **Out:** Send /24, and send /23 with AS-PATH prepend of one AS

Router D configuration In: Ask for a route to use as default

Out: Send /23, and send /24 with AS-PATH prepend of one AS

IDC Multihoming Fine Tuning

- For local fine tuning, increase circuit capacity Local circuits usually are cheap Otherwise...
- For longer distance fine tuning

In: Modify as-path filter on Router C

Out: Modify as-path prepend on Routers C and D

Outbound traffic flow is usual critical for an IDC so inbound policies need to be carefully thought out

IDC Multihoming Other Details

Redundancy

Circuits are terminated on separate routers

Thought applied to address space

Request from both upstreams

Utilise address space evenly across IDC

Don't start with /23 then move to /24 – use both blocks at the same time in the same proportion

Helps with loadsharing – yes, really!

Service Provider Multihoming

Configuration Hints
Hints

- Use ISP software Cisco IOS 12.0S
- Use route-refresh

If not supported by peer AS, use softreconfiguration and make sure router has enough memory

Don't forget "clear ip bgp <neigh> <u>in/out</u>"

Use route-flap damping

Check RIPE-210 for recommended values

More Hints

 Full routing table means minimum of 128Mbytes memory

Plan accordingly – routing table is growing exponentially

- Prefix-lists to filter prefixes
- Filter-lists to filter ASNs
- Route-maps to implement policy
- Keep it Simple!



• Any Questions?

Please fill in evaluation form

The presentation will be available at

http://www.cisco.com/public/cons/isp/documents

My contact info:

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com>