

BGP Tutorial Part 2 – Deployment Techniques

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com>

APRICOT 2003, Taipei

February 2003

Presentation Slides

Cisco.com

• Slides are available at

ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com/pfs/seminars/APRICOT02-BGP01.pdf

Feel free to ask questions any time

BGP for Internet Service Providers

1

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



BGP versus IGP

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

Cisco.com

 Internal Routing Protocols (IGPs) examples are ISIS and OSPF used for carrying infrastructure addresses NOT used for carrying Internet prefixes or

customer prefixes

design goal is to minimise number of prefixes in IGP to aid scalability and rapid convergence

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

Cisco.com

- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry

some/all Internet prefixes across backbone customer prefixes

eBGP used to

exchange prefixes with other ASes implement routing policy

BGP/IGP model used in ISP networks

Cisco.com

Model representation



BGP versus OSPF/ISIS Configuration Example

Cisco.com

router bop 34567 neighbor core-ibgp peer-group neighbor core-ibgp remote-as 34567 neighbor core-ibgp update-source Loopback0 neighbor core-ibgp send-community neighbor core-ibgp-partial peer-group neighbor core-ibgp-partial remote-as 34567 neighbor core-ibgp-partial update-source Loopback0 neighbor core-ibgp-partial send-community neighbor core-ibgp-partial prefix-list network-ibgp out neighbor 222.1.9.10 peer-group core-ibgp neighbor 222.1.9.13 peer-group core-ibgp-partial neighbor 222.1.9.14 peer-group core-ibgp-partial

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

Cisco.com

• DO NOT:

distribute BGP prefixes into an IGP distribute IGP routes into BGP use an IGP to carry customer prefixes

• YOUR NETWORK WILL NOT SCALE

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



Prefixes into iBGP

APRICOT2003

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

Cisco.com

- Use iBGP to carry customer prefixes don't ever use an IGP
- Point static route to customer interface
- Use BGP network statement
- As long as static route exists (interface active), prefix will be in BGP

Router Configuration: network statement

Cisco.com

• Example:

```
interface loopback 0
ip address 215.17.3.1 255.255.255.255
!
interface Serial 5/0
ip unnumbered loopback 0
ip verify unicast reverse-path
!
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
!
router bgp 100
network 215.34.10.0 mask 255.255.252.0
```

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

Cis

Cisco.com

interface flap will result in prefix withdraw and re-announce

use "ip route...permanent"

many ISPs use redistribute static rather than network statement

only use this if you understand why

Inserting prefixes into BGP: redistribute static

Cisco.com

• Care required with redistribute!

redistribute <routing-protocol> means everything in the <routing-protocol> will be transferred into the current routing protocol

Does not scale if uncontrolled

Best avoided if at all possible

redistribute normally used with "route-maps" and under tight administrative control

Router Configuration: redistribute static

Cisco.com

• Example:

```
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
router bgp 100
 redistribute static route-map static-to-bgp
<snip>
route-map static-to-bgp permit 10
match ip address prefix-list ISP-block
 set origin igp
<snip>
ip prefix-list ISP-block permit 215.34.10.0/22 le 30
```

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

Cisco.com

 Route-map static-to-bgp can be used for many things: setting communities and other attributes setting origin code to IGP, etc

 Be careful with prefix-lists and route-maps absence of either/both could mean all statically routed prefixes go into iBGP

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



Aggregation

Quality or Quantity?

Aggregation

Cisco.com

- ISPs receive address block from Regional Registry or upstream provider
- Aggregation means announcing the address block only, not subprefixes

Subprefixes should only be announced in special cases – see later.

 Aggregate should be generated internally Not on the network borders!

Configuring Aggregation

Cisco

Cisco.com

- ISP has 221.10.0.0/19 address block
- To put into BGP as an aggregate:

router bgp 100

network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0

ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

• The static route is a "pull up" route

more specific prefixes within this address block ensure connectivity to ISP's customers

"longest match lookup"

Announcing Aggregate – Cisco IOS

Cisco.com

Configuration Example

```
router bgp 100
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list out-filter out
!
ip route 221.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list out-filter permit 221.10.0.0/19
```

Aggregation – Example

Cisco.com



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announced /19 aggregate to the Internet

APRICOT2003

Aggregation – Good Example

Cisco.com

- Customer link goes down their /23 network becomes unreachable /23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP
- /19 aggregate is still being announced no BGP hold down problems no BGP propagation delays no damping by other ISPs

Aggregation – Good Example

Cisco.com

- Customer link returns
- Their /23 network is visible again

The /23 is re-injected into AS100's iBGP

- The whole Internet becomes visible immediately
- Customer has Quality of Service perception

Aggregation – Example



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announces customers' individual networks to the Internet

Aggregation – Bad Example

Cisco.com

Customer link goes down

Their /23 network becomes unreachable

/23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP

• Their ISP doesn't aggregate its /19 network block

/23 network withdrawal announced to peers

starts rippling through the Internet

added load on all Internet backbone routers as network is removed from routing table

Aggregation – Bad Example

Cisco.com

Customer link returns

Their /23 network is now visible to their ISP

Their /23 network is re-advertised to peers

Starts rippling through Internet

Load on Internet backbone routers as network is reinserted into routing table

Some ISP's suppress the flaps

Internet may take 10-20 min or longer to be visible

Where is the Quality of Service???

Aggregation – Summary

Cisco.com

Good example is what everyone should do!

 Adds to Internet stability
 Reduces size of routing table
 Reduces routing churn
 Improves Internet QoS for everyone

 Bad example is what too many still do!

Laziness? Lack of knowledge?

Announcing an Aggregate

Cisco.com

- ISPs who don't and won't aggregate are held in poor regard by community
- Registries' minimum allocation size is now a /20

no real reason to see subprefixes of allocated blocks in the Internet

BUT there are currently >65000 /24s!

The Internet Today (February 2003)

Cisco.com

 Current Internet Routing Table Statistics **BGP Routing Table Entries** 121374 **Prefixes after maximum aggregation** 77228 **Unique prefixes in Internet** 57860 **Prefixes smaller than registry alloc** 56731 /24s announced 66665 only 5281 /24s are from 192.0.0/8 ASes in use 14677

Cisco.com

Swamp space is name used for areas of poor aggregation

The original swamp was 192.0.0.0/8 from the former class C block

Name given just after the deployment of CIDR

The new swamp is creeping across all parts of the Internet

"The New Swamp" July 2000

Cisco.com

 192/3 space contributes 69000 networks – rest of Internet contributes 16000 networks

Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks
192/8	6352	204/8	4694	216/8	4177	64/8	1439
193/8	2746	205/8	3210	217/8	0	65/8	0
194/8	2963	206/8	4206	218/8	0	66/8	0
195/8	1689	207/8	3943	219/8	0	67/8	0
196/8	525	208/8	4804	220/8	0	68/8	0
198/8	4481	209/8	4755	221/8	0	<mark>69/</mark> 8	0
199/8	4084	210/8	1375	24/8	1122	80/8	0
200/8	2436	211/8	532	61/8	80	81/8	0
202/8	3712	212/8	1859	62/8	428		
203/8	5494	213/8	635	63/8	2198		

© 2003, Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

"The New Swamp" February 2003

 192/3 space contributes 83000 networks – rest of Internet contributes 38000 networks

Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks
192/8	6478	204/8	4269	216/8	5967	64/8	3512
193/8	3761	205/8	2839	217/8	1379	65/8	3172
194/8	3110	206/8	3858	218/8	584	66/8	4395
195/8	2057	207/8	3769	219/8	419	67/8	899
196/8	678	208/8	4274	220/8	245	68/8	1805
198/8	4653	209/8	4623	221/8	12	<mark>69/</mark> 8	234
199/8	4187	210/8	2277	24/8	2238	80/8	984
200/8	4639	211/8	1548	61/8	1377	81/8	392
202/8	5789	212/8	2500	62/8	1327		
203/8	7162	213/8	1976	63/8	2955		

© 2003, Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

"The New Swamp" Summary

Cisco.com

- 192/3 space shows creeping increase in bad aggregation 192/8, 193/8, 200/8, 202/7 and 216/8 show major changes not consistent with fresh RIR allocations
- Rest of address space is showing similar increase too
 - New RIR blocks in former A space are showing deaggregation
 - Other nets in former A and B space are also being deaggregated
- Why??
 - Excuses usually are traffic engineering
 - Real reason tends to be lack of knowledge and laziness

Efforts to improve aggregation

Cisco.com

• The CIDR Report

Initiated and operated for many years by Tony Bates

Now combined with Geoff Huston's routing analysis

www.cidr-report.org

Results e-mailed on a weekly basis to most operations lists around the world

Lists the top 30 service providers who could do better at aggregating
Efforts to improve aggregation The CIDR Report

Cisco.com

- Also computes the size of the routing table assuming ISPs performed optimal aggregation
- Website allows searches and computations of aggregation to be made on a per AS basis

flexible and powerful tool to aid ISPs

Intended to show how greater efficiency in terms of BGP table size can be obtained without loss of routing and policy information

Shows what forms of origin AS aggregation could be performed and the potential benefit of such actions to the total table size

Very effectively challenges the traffic engineering excuse

🧱 CIDR Report - Mozilla

<u>File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Window Help</u>



http://www.cidr-report.org/



۲

_ 8 ×

Status Statiana

Table History

Date	Prefixes	CIDR Aggregated
21-01-03	118131	85084
22-01-03	118225	85008
23-01-03	118178	85134
24-01-03	118201	85103
25-01-03	118189	83778
26-01-03	116341	84709
27-01-03	117892	84848
28-01-03	118004	85017

Plot: BGP Table Size

AS Summary

- 14424 Number of ASes in routing system
- 5650 Number of ASes announcing only one prefix
- 1583 Largest number of prefixes announced by an AS AS701: ALTERNET-AS UUNET Technologies, Inc.
- 73015296 Largest address span announced by an AS (/32s) AS568: SUMNET-AS DISO-UNRRA

Plot: AS count

Plot: Average announcements per origin AS Report: ASes ordered by originating address span Report: Autonomous System number-to-name mapping (from Registry WHOIS data)

Possible Bogus Routes and AS Announcements

No Bogus Routes

Report: Allocated and Reserved IPv4 address blocks

No Bogus ASs

Report: Allocated and Reserved AS blocks





-



Aggregation Summary

The algorithm used in this report proposes aggregation only when there is a precise match using AS path so as to preserve traffic transit policies. Aggregation is also proposed across non-advertised address space ('holes').

28Jar	.03				
ASnum	NetsNow	NetsAggr	NetGain	% Gain	Description
Table	118104	85000	33104	28.04	All ASes
A\$3908	1180	689	491	41.6+	SUPERNETASBLK SuperNet, Inc.
AS18566	445	5	440	98.94	COVAD Covad Communications
AS701	1583	1172	411	26.04	ALTERNET-AS UUNET Technologies, Inc.
AS7018	1435	1034	401	27.94	ATT-INTERNET4 AT&T WorldNet Services
A\$7843	591	250	341	57.74	ADELPHIA-AS Adelphia Corp.
A\$4323	527	188	339	64.34	TW-COMM Time Warner Communications, Inc.
AS6197	464	154	310	66.84	BATI-ATL BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
A\$1221	1128	833	295	26.24	ASN-TELSTRA Telstra Pty Ltd
A\$6347	372	85	287	77.2*	DIAMOND SAVVIS Communications Corporation
A\$1239	957	674	283	29.64	SPRINTLINK Sprint
A\$4355	409	133	276	67.5+	ERMS-EARTHLNK EARTHLINK, INC
AS7046	552	280	272	49.34	UUNET-CUSTOMER UUNET Technologies, Inc.
AS4151	329	58	271	82.4+	USDA-1 USDA
AS22927	289	22	267	92.4+	AR-TEAR2-LACNIC TELEFONICA DE ARGENTINA
AS4814	261	15	2 46	94.34	CHINANET-BEIЛNG-AP China Telecom (Group)
A\$705	424	181	243	57.34	ASN-ALTERNET UUNET Technologies, Inc.
AS852	680	446	234	34.4+	ASN852 Telus Advanced Communications
AS1	663	433	230	34.74	GNTY-1 Gemuity
AS6198	423	202	221	52.24	BATI-MIA BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
AS17676	227	28	199	87.74	GIGAINFRA XTAGE CORPORATION
AS22291	228	29	199	87.34	CHARTER-LA Charter Communications
AS690	521	326	195	37.44	MERIT-AS-27 Merit Network Inc.
AS209	522	334	188	36.04	ASN-QWEST Qwest
AS4134	298	114	184	61.7*	ERX-CHINALINK Data Communications Bureau
AS6140	305	126	179	58.74	IMPSAT-USA ImpSat
AS2048	259	86	173	66.84	LANET-1 State of Louisiana

40.9+ INS-AS AT&T Data Communications Services

80.7+ SHAWFIBER Shaw Fiberlink Limited

47.5+ CABLEONE CABLE ONE

47.78 Top 30 total

44.7+ PKTELECOM-AS-AP Pakistan Telecom

●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 ●

 <lp>●

 <lp>●

421

187

329

299

16308

249

36

182

157

8521

172

151

147

142

7787

AS2386

A\$6327

A\$17557

AS11492

Total

🧱 CIDR Report - Mozilla

<u>File E</u>dit <u>V</u>iew <u>G</u>o <u>B</u>ookmarks <u>T</u>ools <u>W</u>indow <u>H</u>elp

http://www.cidr-report.org/

lop 20 Added Koutes this week per Originating A5

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

- 74 AS852 ASN852 Tebs Advanced Communications
- 52 AS7080 MX-EYCS-LACNIC Electronica y Comunicaciones, S. A.
- 37 AS1913 DLA4 Defense Logistics Agency
- 31 AS4755 VSNL-AS Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd. Autonomous System
- 30 AS8665 FTECH-OFFSITE-AS Frontier Internet Services Limited
- 27 AS17653 PCM-HK-AP Pacific Century Matrix
- 22 AS7011 CITLINK Citizens Utilities
- 20 AS14104 THENET-I2 University of Texas at Austin
- 17 AS4622 UNSPECIFIED IndoInternet PT.
- 16 AS19405 WORLDWITHOUTWIRE WorldWithoutWire.com
- 16 AS16631 COGENT-ASN Cogent Communications
- 16 AS4637 REACH Reach Network Border AS
- 15 AS19029 NEWEDGENETS New Edge Networks
- 15 AS9583 SATYAMNET-AS Satyam Infoway Ltd.,
- 15 AS2457 AS2457 FR-U-1-AIX-MARSEILLE
- 14 AS10029 SPECTRANET FIRST FIBRE BROADBAND NETWORK IN NEW DELHI, INDIA
- 13 AS7843 ADELPHIA-AS Adelphia Corp.
- 12 ASI GNTY-1 Gemity
- 12 AS9237 HUTCHCA-AS Corporate Access (HK) Ltd.
- 12 AS7713 TELKOMNET-AS-AP PT TELEKOMUNIKASI INDONESIA

Top 20 Withdrawn Routes this week per Originating AS

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

- -189 AS1580 HQ, 5th Signal Command
- -42 AS21127 ZSTTKAS JSC Zap-Sib TransTeleCom
- -40 ASS839 ASN-DDN-ASNBLK-ASNBLOCK DOD Network Information Center NCTAMS EURCENT
- -35 AS2151 CSUNET-SE California State University
- -28 AS2920 LACOE Los Angeles County Office of Education
- -26 AS724 ASN-DLA-ASNBLOCK DLA Systems Automation Center
- -25 AS1556 HQ, 5th Signal Command
- -24 AS7535 TISNET TISNET Technology Inc.
- -24 AS17964 DXTNET Beijing Dian-Xin-Tong Network Technologies Co., Ltd.
- -23 AS2150 CSUNET-SW California State University
- -20 AS8092 BBNOW BroadbandNow
- -18 AS701 ALTERNET-AS UUNET Technologies, Inc.
- -18 AS7843 ADELPHIA-AS Adelphia Corp.
- -17 AS3908 SUPERNETASBLK SuperNet, Inc.
- -16 AS1239 SPRINTLINK Sprint
- -16 AS1913 DLA4 Defense Logistics Agency
- -15 AS9809 CHINATDT New Era Foundation System Co. Ltd
- -15 AS1716 FR-RRTHD-PACA RESEAU REGIONAL TRES HAUT DEBIT PACA
- -14 AS23520 NEW-WORLD-NETWORK New World Network
- -14 AS271 BCNET-AS University of British Columbia



_ 8 ×



Image: CIDR Report - Mozilla File Edit View Go Bookmarks Iools Window Help Image: CiDR Report - Mozilla Image: CiDR Report - Mozilla Image: CiDR Report - Mozilla File Edit View Go Bookmarks Iools Window Help Image: CiDR Report - Mozilla Image: CiDR Report - Mozilla

More Specifics

A list of route advertisements that appear to be more specific than the original Class-based prefix mask, or more specific than the registry allocation size.

Top 20 ASes advertising more specific prefixes

More	Total	10	
Specifics	Prefixes	ASnum	AS Description
839	1180	AS3908	SUPERNETASBLK SuperNet, Inc.
772	1435	AS7018	ATT-INTERNET4 AT&T WorldNet Services
729	1583	AS701	ALTERNET-AS UUNET Technologies, Inc.
522	638	AS4637	REACH Reach Network Border AS
503	957	AS1239	SPRINTLINK Sprint
487	521	AS690	MERIT-AS-27 Merit Network Inc.
440	445	AS18566	COVAD Covad Communications
389	464	AS6197	BATI-ATL BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
373	591	AS7843	ADELPHIA-AS Adelphia Corp.
3.65	423	AS6198	BATI-MIA BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
355	527	AS4323	TW-COMM Time Warner Communications, Inc.
346	680	AS852	ASN852 Telus Advanced Communications
344	552	AS7046	UUNET-CUSTOMER UUNET Technologies, Inc.
308	522	AS209	ASN-QWEST Qwest
298	299	AS11492	CABLEONE CABLE ONE
295	424	AS705	ASN-ALTERNET UUNET Technologies, Inc.
284	421	AS2386	INS-AS AT&T Data Communications Services
267	663	AS1	GNTY-1 Genuity
266	409	AS4355	ERMS-EARTHLNK EARTHLINK, INC
264	311	AS7066	ACCESS-VIRGINIA Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State Univ.
Report: A	Ses ordere	d by numbr	er of more specific prefixes

-

Report: More Specific prefix list (by AS)

🦉 AS Report - Mozilla

<u>File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Window Help</u>



http://www.cidr-report.org/cgi-bin/as-report?as=A51221&view=4637





0

Aggregation Suggestions

This report does not take into account conditions local to each origin AS in terms of policy or traffic engineering requirements, so this is an approximate guideline as to aggregation possibilities.

10 AS1221 ASN-TE	LSTRA Telstra Pty	Ltd 1128 444 149 833 295 26.15%
	202000000000000000000000000000000000000	
AS 1221: ASN-TELSTRA	. Teistra Pty Ltd	
Prefix (AS Path)	1001	Aggregation Action
47.153.192.07.18	1221	
61.9.128.0/1/	1221	
128.87.160.0/21	1221	
129.223.0.0/16	1221	
129.223.0.0/18	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
129.223.64.0/19	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
129.223.131.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
129.223.160.0/19	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
129.223.192.0/19	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
129.223.224.0/19	1221	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 129.223.0.0/16 1221
134.144.64.0/20	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 134.144.72.0/21 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
134.144.72.0/21	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 134.144.64.0/21
134.159.2.0/24	1221	
134.178.0.0/16	1221	
136.153.0.0/16	1221	
137.76.0.0/18	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.32.0/19 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.0.0/20	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.8.0/21 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.0.0/22	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.2.0/23 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.2.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 137.76.3.0/24 (1221)
137.76.3.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 137.76.2.0/24 (1221)
137.76.4.0/22	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.6.0/23 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.6.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 137.76.7.0/24
137.76.8.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 137.76.9.0/24
137.76.16.0/20	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.24.0/21 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.27.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 137.76.26.0/24
137.76.28.0/23	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.28.0/24 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137.76.28.0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 137.76.29.0/24
137.76.30.0/23	1221	+ Announce - aggregate of 137.76.31.0/24 (1221) and exposed 'hole'
137 76 31 0/24	1221	- Withdrawn - aggregated across exposed 'hole' 137 76 30 0/24



Announced Prefixes

Rank	AS	Type	Originate Addr	Space	(pfx)	Transit Addr space	e (pfx)	Description
165	A3109	ORIGIN	Originate:	985088	/12.09	Transit:	0 /0.00	CISCOSYSTEMS Cisco Systems, Inc.

Aggregation Suggestions

This report does not take into account conditions local to each origin AS in terms of policy or traffic engineering requirements, so this is an approximate guideline as to aggregation possibilities.

Rank AS	AS Name	Curre	nt Wthdw	Aggte	Annce Re	dctn	*	
788 <u>A3109</u>	CISCOSYSTEMS Cisco Sy	stems, Inc.	25 9	2	19	72	5.92*	
109: CI3C	OSYSTEMS Cisco Systems	, Inc.						
refix (AS	Path)	Aggregation Action						
.100.0.0/14	1239 109							
.100.128.0/	19 1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.100.150.0/	20 1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.100.192.0/	18 1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.101.192.0/	19 1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.101.224.0/	19 1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.102.0.0/15	1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.103.0.0/17	1239 109	- Withdrawn - mat	ching agg	regate (54.100.0.0,	/14 123	9 109	
.104.0.0/15	1239 109							
.104.0.0/18	2914 109							
.104.192.0/	18 1221 109							
8.107.0.0/1	5 1239 109							
4.254.0.0/1	5 1239 109							
44.0.0/15	1239 109							
58.0.0/14	1239 109							
.31.7.0/24	1239 109							
.118.75.0/	22 4200 1299 1299	1299 3491 9116 109						
.122.173.0	/24 1239 109							
.122.174.0	/24 1239 109							
.135.240.0	/21 1239 109							
2.135.250.0	/24 1239 109							
.92.0.0/18	1239 109							
.133.219.0	/24 1239 109							
.135.4.0/2	2 1239 109							
4.69.192.0/	20 1239 109	+ Announce - aggs	egate of	204.59.	192.0/21 (1239 10	9) and expos	ed 'hole'
4.59.198.0/	23 1239 109	- Withdrawn - agg	regated a	cross es	xposed 'ho	le' 204	.69.196.0/23	1
4.59.200.0/	22 1239 109	+ Announce - aggs	egate of	204.69.	200.0/23 (1239 10	9) and expos	ed 'hole'
1.59.200.0/	24 1239 109	- Withdrawn - agg	regated a	cross es	xposed 'ho	le' 204	.59.201.0/24	

-

as-report v1.0 (gih) 20/8/02

▲
● ● ● ● ● ● ● One

Aggregation Potential

Cisco.com



Aggregation Summary

Cisco.com

Aggregation on the Internet could be MUCH better

35% saving on Internet routing table size is quite feasible

Tools are available

Commands on the router are not hard

CIDR-Report webpage

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



Receiving Prefixes

Receiving Prefixes

Cisco.com

There are three scenarios for receiving prefixes from other ASNs

Customer talking BGP

Peer talking BGP

Upstream/Transit talking BGP

 Each has different filtering requirements and need to be considered separately

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

Cisco.com

- ISPs should only accept prefixes which have been assigned or allocated to their downstream customer
- If ISP has assigned address space to its customer, then the customer IS entitled to announce it back to his ISP
- If the ISP has NOT assigned address space to its customer, then:

Check in the four RIR databases to see if this address space really has been assigned to the customer

The tool: whois –h whois.apnic.net x.x.x.0/24

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

All Cisco.com

• Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

pfs-pc\$ whois	-h whois.apnic.net 202.12.29.0					
inetnum:	202.12.29.0 - 20	202.12.29.0 - 202.12.29.255				
netname:	APNIC-AP-AU-BNE					
descr:	APNIC Pty Ltd -	Brisbane Offices + Servers				
descr:	Level 1, 33 Park Rd					
descr:	PO Box 2131, Milton					
descr:	Brisbane, QLD.					
country:	AU Portablo – moans its an assignment					
admin-c:	HM20-AP to the customer, the customer can					
tech-c:	NO4-AP announce it to you					
mnt-by:	APNIC-HM					
changed:	hm-changed@apnic.net 20030108					
status:	ASSIGNED PORTABLE					
source:	APNIC					

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

Cisco.com

 Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:



Receiving Prefixes from customer: Cisco IOS

Cisco.com

• For Example:

downstream has 220.50.0.0/20 block should only announce this to upstreams upstreams should only accept this from them

Configuration on upstream

router bgp 100
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list customer in
!
ip prefix-list customer permit 220.50.0.0/20

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

Cisco.com

 A peer is an ISP with whom you agree to exchange prefixes you originate into the Internet routing table

Prefixes you accept from a peer are only those they have indicated they will announce

Prefixes you announce to your peer are only those you have indicated you will announce

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

Cisco.com

Agreeing what each will announce to the other:

Exchange of e-mail documentation as part of the peering agreement, and then ongoing updates

OR

Use of the Internet Routing Registry and configuration tools such as the IRRToolSet

www.ripe.net/ripencc/pub-services/db/irrtoolset/

Receiving Prefixes from peer: Cisco IOS

Cisco.com

• For Example:

peer has 220.50.0.0/16, 61.237.64.0/18 and 81.250.128.0/17 address blocks

Configuration on local router

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 222.222.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 222.222.10.1 prefix-list my-peer in
!
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 220.50.0.0/16
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 61.237.64.0/18
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 81.250.128.0/17
ip prefix-list my-peer deny 0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Cisco.com

- Upstream/Transit Provider is an ISP who you pay to give you transit to the WHOLE Internet
- Receiving prefixes from them is not desirable unless really necessary

special circumstances – see later

• Ask upstream/transit provider to either:

originate a default-route

OR

announce one prefix you can use as default

Cisco.com

Downstream Router Configuration

router bgp 100
network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 221.5.7.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list infilter in
neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list outfilter out
!
ip prefix-list infilter permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip prefix-list outfilter permit 221.10.0.0/19

Cisco.com

Upstream Router Configuration

router bgp 101 neighbor 221.5.7.2 remote-as 100 neighbor 221.5.7.2 default-originate neighbor 221.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-in in neighbor 221.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-out out ! ip prefix-list cust-in permit 221.10.0.0/19 ! ip prefix-list cust-out permit 0.0.0.0/0

Cisco.com

 If necessary to receive prefixes from any provider, care is required

don't accept RFC1918 etc prefixes

http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-manning-dsua-08.txt

ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc3330.txt

don't accept your own prefixes

don't accept default (unless you need it)

don't accept prefixes longer than /24

Check Rob Thomas' list of "bogons"

http://www.cymru.org/Documents/bogon-list.html

Receiving Prefixes

Cisco.com router bgp 100 network 221.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 neighbor 221.5.7.1 remote-as 101 neighbor 221.5.7.1 prefix-list in-filter in I ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 ! Block default ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 10.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 127.0.0.0/8 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 169.254.0.0/16 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 172.16.0.0/12 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.0.2.0/24 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.168.0.0/16 le 32 ip prefix-list in-filter deny 221.10.0.0/19 le 32 ! Block local prefix ip prefix-list in-filter deny 224.0.0.0/3 le 32 ! Block multicast ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 ge 25 ! Block prefixes >/24 ip prefix-list in-filter permit 0.0.0.0/0 le 32

Receiving Prefixes

Cisco.com

 Paying attention to prefixes received from customers, peers and transit providers assists with:

The integrity of the local network

The integrity of the Internet

 Responsibility of all ISPs to be good Internet citizens

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities

Configuration Tips

iBGP and **IGPs**

Cisco.com

- Make sure loopback is configured on router iBGP between loopbacks, NOT real interfaces
- Make sure IGP carries loopback /32 address
- Make sure IGP carries DMZ nets

Use ip-unnumbered where possible Or use next-hop-self on iBGP neighbours neighbor x.x.x.x next-hop-self

Cisco.com

 Used by many ISPs on edge routers
 Preferable to carrying DMZ /30 addresses in the IGP

Reduces size of IGP to just core infrastructure

Alternative to using ip unnumbered

Helps scale network

BGP speaker announces external network using local address (loopback) as next-hop

Templates

Cisco.com

Good practice to configure templates for everything

Vendor defaults tend not to be optimal or even very useful for ISPs

ISPs create their own defaults by using configuration templates

Sample iBGP and eBGP templates follow for Cisco IOS

BGP Template – iBGP peers

Cisco.com

router bgp 100 neighbor internal peer-group neighbor internal description ibgp peers neighbor internal remote-as 100 neighbor internal update-source Loopback0 neighbor internal next-hop-self neighbor internal send-community neighbor internal version 4 neighbor internal password 7 03085A09 neighbor 1.0.0.1 peer-group internal neighbor 1.0.0.2 peer-group internal

APRICOT2003

© 2003, Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved

BGP Template – iBGP peers

Cisco.com

- Use peer-groups
- iBGP between loopbacks!
- Next-hop-self

Keep DMZ and point-to-point out of IGP

Always send communities in iBGP

Otherwise accidents will happen

• Hardwire BGP to version 4

Yes, this is being paranoid!

• Use passwords on iBGP session Not being paranoid, VERY necessary

BGP Template – eBGP peers

BGP Template – eBGP peers

Cisco.com

- BGP damping use RIPE-229 parameters
- Remove private ASes from announcements Common omission today
- Use extensive filters, with "backup"

Use as-path filters to backup prefix-lists

Use route-maps for policy

- Use password agreed between you and peer on eBGP session
- Use maximum-prefix tracking

Router will warn you if there are sudden increases in BGP table size, bringing down eBGP if desired

More BGP "defaults"

Cisco

Cisco.com

• Log neighbour changes

bgp log-neighbor-changes

Enable deterministic MED

bgp deterministic-med

Otherwise bestpath could be different every time BGP session is reset

• Make BGP admin distance higher than any IGP

distance bgp 200 200 200

Customer Aggregation

Cisco.com

BGP customers

Offer max 3 types of feeds (easier than custom configuration per peer)

Use communities

Static customers

Use communities

 Differentiate between different types of prefixes

Makes eBGP filtering easy
BGP Customer Aggregation Guidelines

Define at least three peer groups: cust-default—send default route only cust-cust—send customer routes only cust-full —send full Internet routes

- Identify routes via communities e.g.
 100:4100=customers; 100:4500=peers
- Apply passwords per neighbour
- Apply inbound & outbound prefix-list per neighbour

Cisco.com

BGP Customer Aggregation



Static Customer Aggregation Guidelines

Identify routes via communities, e.g. 100:4000 = my address blocks 100:4100 = "specials" from my blocks 100:4200 = customers from my blocks 100:4300 = customers outside my blocks Helps with aggregation, iBGP, filtering

 BGP network statements on aggregation routers set correct community

Cisco.com

Sample core configuration

Cisco.com

eBGP peers and upstreams

Send communities 100:4000, 100:4100 and 100:4300, receive everything

iBGP full routes

Send everything (only to network core)

iBGP partial routes

Send communities 100:4000, 100:4100, 100:4200, 100:4300 and 100:4500 (to edge routers, peering routers, IXP routers)

Simple configuration with peer-groups and routemaps

Summary

Cisco.com

- Use configuration templates
- Standardise the configuration
- Anything to make your life easier, network less prone to errors, network more likely to scale
- It's all about scaling if your network won't scale, then it won't be successful



More Configuration Tips

Hot off the Press!

Deterministic-MED

Cisco.com

MED is multi-exit discriminator

Part of BGP path selection process

Prefix paths compared when heard from the same neighbouring AS

Applicable when multihomed to the same AS

RFC1771 does not specify how paths should be compared in this case

So IOS compares newest path with next newest *etc*

Which results in non-deterministic path selection when neighbour relationships are reset

Deterministic-MED

Cisco.com

Solution is deterministic-MED

Paths are sorted in order of increasing ASN value

This is immune to neighbour resets

 MUST be configured on all BGP speakers in network

Existing deployments need to be careful in migration – whole network needs to be moved

New deployments should configure from scratch

router bgp 100

bgp deterministic-med

Cisco.com

- Your ISP has just bought another ISP How to merge networks?
- Options:

use confederations – make their AS a sub-AS (only useful if you are using confederations already)

use the BGP local-as feature to implement a gradual transition – overrides BGP process ID

neighbor x.x.x.x local-as as-number [no-prepend]

local-AS – Application

Cisco.com

AS 100 Α **AS 300** AS100 purchases AS300 How to migrate AS300 into AS100's network? One task is to include AS300 routers in AS100's network Another task is to migrate the peerings with external routers to the new AS **AS 200**

Iocal-AS – Application

Cisco.com

- Migrating internal network can be done during ISP's maintenance periods
- During this work, the eBGP sessions need to be migrated to the new AS

But peers or customers or upstreams may not be available during ISP maintenance period

local-AS comes to the rescue

 Local-AS configured on specific eBGP peerings so that router in new AS appears as though it is still in its original AS

Iocal-AS – Application



local-AS – Application



Limiting AS Path Length

Cisco.com

 Some BGP implementations have problems with long AS_PATHS

Memory corruption

Memory fragmentation

 Even using AS_PATH prepends, it is not normal to see more than 20 ASes in a typical AS_PATH in the Internet today

The Internet is around 5 ASes deep on average Largest AS_PATH is usually 16-20 ASNs

Limiting AS Path Length

Cisco.com

Some announcements have ridiculous lengths of AS-paths:

*> 3FFE:1600::/24 3FFE:C00:8023:5::2 22 11537 145 12199 10318 10566 13193 1930 2200 3425 293 5609 5430 13285 6939 14277 1849 33 15589 25336 6830 8002 2042 7610 i

This example is an error in one IPv6 implementation

Use bgp maxas-limit to ignore this bogus announcement

router bgp 100

bgp maxas-limit 15

Limits the AS-path length to 15 ASNs only

Limiting the prefixes received

Cisco.com

 Maximum-prefix was introduced earlier in the eBGP template The feature is actually quite powerful and has many options:

neighbor {neighbor} maximum-prefix {max} [thresh-int]
[warning-only][restart interval]

- *max* the maximum number of prefixes before the peering is shutdown
- *thresh-int* percentage threshold before warnings are issued
- warning-only only issue warnings, never tear down BGP session

restart *interval* how long to wait before attempting to restart a shutdown BGP session

Maximum Prefix Example

Cisco.com

neighbor 1.1.1.6 maximum-prefix 1000

• When we receive the route number 1001 from neighbor 1.1.1.6

%BGP-3-MAXPFXEXCEED: No. of unicast prefix received from 1.1.1.6: 1001 exceed limit 1000

And the BGP session is closed

With warning-only option, message is logged, but BGP session is not closed

Maximum Prefix Closing The Session

Cisco.com

• The TCP/BGP session is closed:

Update Malformed NOTIFICATION sent

draft-chen-bgp-cease-subcod-00 adds specific codes for this condition (not implemented yet)

• The peering goes into ADMIN IDLE (equivalent) state

Other side will try to <u>reestablish</u> (unsuccessfully) the BGP session

Maximum Prefix Closing The Session

Cisco.com

#show ip bgp summary

Neighbor(...)State/PfxRcd1.1.1.6(...)Idle (PfxCt)

#show ip bgp neighbor

Last reset 00:01:32, due to BGP Notification sent, update malformed

Peer had exceeded the max. no. of prefixes configured

Reduce the no. of prefix and clear ip bgp 1.1.1.6 to restore peering

Maximum Prefix Closing The Session

Cisco.com

- To re-establish the peering, operators need to do a hard reset on the BGP peering:
 - clear ip bgp {neighbor}

neighbor <u>must</u> be an ip address

 The other side cannot do anything to reestablish the peering

Maximum Prefix Threshold Option

C

Cisco.com

Router will also log a warning if we exceed thres-int

thres-int is a percentage of *max*

neighbor 1.1.1.6 maximum-prefix 1000 60

After receiving the prefix # 601

%BGP-4-MAXPFX: No. of unicast prefix received from 1.1.1.6 reaches 601, max 1000

The default value for *thres-int* is 75%

Maximum Prefix restart Option

Cisco.com

 If the restart option is configured, the router will try to restart the session automatically each interval

Interval: 1-65535 minutes

If not configured, restarting will need to be done by hand

#show ip bgp neighbor

Threshold for warning message 75%, restart interval 60 min

Reduce the no. of prefix from 145.10.10.2, will restart in 00:00:40

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



IP Addressing

How to do addressing within an ISP Network with a view to optimising the IGP and iBGP

IP Addressing

Cisco.com

IPv4 Address space is a resource shared amongst all Internet users

Regional Internet Registries delegated allocation responsibility by the IANA

APNIC, ARIN, RIPE NCC and LACNIC are the four RIRs

RIRs allocate address space to ISPs and Local Internet Registries

ISPs/LIRs assign address space to end customers

• 56% of available IPv4 address space used

Definitions

Cisco.com

• Non-portable – 'provider aggregatable' (PA)

Customer uses RIR member's address space while connected to Internet

Customer renumbers when changing ISP

Helps control of size of Internet routing table

May fragment provider block when multihoming

 PA space is allocated to the RIR member with the requirement that all assignments are announced as an aggregate

Definitions

Cisco.com

Portable – 'provider independent' (PI)

Customer gets or has address space independent of ISP Customer keeps addresses when changing ISP Bad for size of Internet routing table PI space is rarely distributed by the RIRs

Address Space

Cisco.com

- Approach upstream ISP or consider RIR membership for address space
- Supply addressing plan when requested remember Internet is classless addresses assigned according to need not want
- Assign addresses to backbone and other network layers – remember scalability!
- Some examples follow...

Principles of Addressing

Cisco.com

Separate customer & infrastructure address pools

Manageability

Different personnel manage infrastructure and assignments to customers

Scalability

Easier renumbering – customer renumbering is harder, infrastructure is easy

Principles of Addressing

Cisco.com

Further separate infrastructure

 In the IGP:
 p2p addresses of backbone connections
 router loopback addresses
 Not in the IGP:
 RAS server address pools
 Virtual web and content hosting LANs
 Mail, DNS server system LANs

Principles of Addressing

Cisco.com

Customer networks

Carry in iBGP

Do not put in IGP – ever!

 Do not need to aggregate address space assigned to customers

iBGP can carry in excess of 200,000 prefixes, no IGP is designed to do this

Management – Simple Network

Cisco

Cisco.com

First allocation from APNIC

Infrastructure is known, customers are not

20% free is trigger for next request



Management – Simple Network

Cisco.com

If second allocation is contiguous

1st all	ocation>	← 2nc	d allo	cation>
Customers	Infrastructure	Infrastructure	20%	Customers

Reverse order of division of first block Maximise contiguous space for infrastructure Easier for debugging Customer networks can be discontiguous

Management – Many POPs

Cisco.com

WAN link to single transit ISP



Management – Many POPs

Cisco.com

POP sizes

Choose address pool for each POP according to need

Customer		Infrastructure		
Loopback addresses	5			
Keep together in one block				
Assists in fault-resolution		POP 1	POP2	
Customer addresses	•			
Assign sequentially			loopb	ac

Management – Many POPs

Cisco.com

 /20 minimum allocation is not enough for all your POPs?

Deploy addresses on infrastructure first

• Common mistake:

Reserving customer addresses on a per POP basis

 Do not constrain network plans due to lack of address space

Re-apply once address space has been used There is plenty of it!


Management – Multiple Exits

Cisco.com

Create a 'national' infrastructure pool

National Infrastructure	20% free	POP1	POP2	POP3
			customer	

Carry in IGP

E.g. loopbacks, p2p links, infrastructure connecting routers and hosts which are multiply connected

On a per POP basis

Consider separate memberships if requirement for each POP is very large from day one

Summary

Cisco.com

- Set up an addressing plan which is sensitive to your backbone needs
- IGP carries only infrastructure addresses
- iBGP can carry the rest

Aggregation of customer assignments within the iBGP is usually not necessary

Aggregation of external announcements is VERY necessary

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



Service Providers use of Communities

Some examples of how ISPs make life easier for themselves

Cisco.com

- Another ISP "scaling technique"
- Prefixes are grouped into different "classes" or communities within the ISP network
- Each community means a different thing, has a different result in the ISP network

BGP Communities

Cisco.com

Communities are generally set at the edge of the ISP network

Customer edge: customer prefixes belong to different communities depending on the services they have purchased

Internet edge: transit provider prefixes belong to difference communities, depending on the loadsharing or traffic engineering requirements of the local ISP, or what the demands from its BGP customers might be

 Two simple examples follow to explain the concept

Cisco.com

- This demonstrates how communities might be used at the customer edge of an ISP network
- ISP has three connections to the Internet:

IXP connection, for local peers

Private peering with a competing ISP in the region

Transit provider, who provides visibility to the entire Internet

 Customers have the option of purchasing combinations of the above connections

Community Example – Customer Edge

Cisco.com

Community assignments:

IXP connection: community 100:2100

Private peer: community 100:2200

- Customer who buys local connectivity (via IXP) is put in community 100:2100
- Customer who buys peer connectivity is put in community 100:2200
- Customer who wants both IXP and peer connectivity is put in 100:2100 and 100:2200
- Customer who wants "the Internet" has no community set
 We are going to announce his prefix everywhere



Community Example – Customer Edge

_____C

Cisco.com

Aggregation Router configuration

```
ip route 222.1.20.0 255.255.255.0 serial 0 ! IXP only
ip route 222.1.28.0 255.255.252.0 serial 1 ! Peer only
ip route 222.1.64.0 255.255.240.0 serial 3 ! IXP+Peer
ip route 222.1.0.0 255.255.252.0 serial 4 ! everything
router bgp 100
network 222.1.20.0 mask 255.255.255.0 route-map ixp-comm
network 222.1.28.0 mask 255.255.252.0 route-map peer-comm
network 222.1.64.0 mask 255.255.240.0 route-map ixp-peer-comm
network 222.1.0.0 mask 255.255.252.0
neighbor ...
route-map ixp-comm permit 10
                                             Set communities
 set community 100:2100
                                             when prefixes go
route-map peer-comm permit 10
                                             into iBGP
 set community 100:2200
route-map ixp-peer-comm permit 10
 set community 100:2100 100:2200
```

Community Example – Customer Edge

Cisco.com

Border Router configuration

```
router bgp 100
network 221.1.0.0 mask 255.255.0.0
neighbor ixp-peer peer-group
neighbor ixp-peer route-map ixp-out out
neighbor private-peer peer-group
neighbor private-peer route-map ppeer-out out
neighbor upstream peer-group
neighbor upstream prefix-list aggregate out
neighbor ...
I
route-map ixp-out permit 10
match community 11
route-map ppeer-out permit 10
                                      Filter outgoing
match community 12
                                      announcements based
I
                                      on communities set
ip community-list 11 permit 100:2100
ip community-list 12 permit 100:2200
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 221.1.0.0/16
```

Cisco.com

- No need to alter filters at the network border when adding a new customer
- New customer simply is added to the appropriate community

Border filters already in place take care of announcements

Þ Ease of operation!

Cisco.com

- This demonstrates how communities might be used at the peering edge of an ISP network
- ISP has four types of BGP peers:
 - Customer
 - **IXP** peer
 - **Private peer**
 - Transit provider
- The prefixes received from each can be classified using communities
- Customers can opt to receive any or all of the above

Cisco.com

• Community assignments:

Customer prefix:	community 100:3000
IXP prefix:	community 100:3100
Private peer prefix:	community 100:3200

- BGP customer who buys local connectivity gets 100:3000
- BGP customer who buys local and IXP connectivity receives community 100:3000 and 100:3100
- BGP customer who buys full peer connectivity receives community 100:3000, 100:3100, and 100:3200
- Customer who wants "the Internet" gets everything Gets default route via "default-originate" Or pays money to get all 120k prefixes

Cisco.com

Border Router configuration

```
router bgp 100
neighbor customer peer-group
neighbor customer route-map cust-in in
neighbor ixp-peer peer-group
neighbor ixp-peer route-map ixp-in in
neighbor private-peer peer-group
neighbor private-peer route-map ppeer-in in
neighbor upstream peer-group
neighbor ...
L
route-map cust-in permit 10
set community 100:3000
                                       Set communities
route-map ixp-in permit 10 ·
                                        on inbound
set community 100:3100
                                        announcements
route-map ppeer-in permit 10
 set community 100:3200
I
```

Cisco.com

Aggregation Router configuration

© 2003, Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.



Cisco.com

No need to create customised filters when adding customers

Border router already sets communities

Installation engineers pick the appropriate community set when establishing the customer BGP session

Þ Ease of operation!

Community Example – Summary

Cisco.com

- Two examples of customer edge and internet edge can be combined to form a simple community solution for ISP prefix policy control
- More experienced operators tend to have more sophisticated options available

Advice is to start with the easy examples given, and then proceed onwards as experience is gained

Some ISP Examples

Cisco.com

- ISPs also create communities to give customers bigger routing policy control
- Public policy is usually listed in the IRR

Following examples are all in the IRR

Examples build on the configuration concepts from the introductory example

 Consider creating communities to give policy control to customers

Reduces technical support burden

Reduces the amount of router reconfiguration, and the chance of mistakes

Some ISP Examples Connect.com.au

Cisco.com

- Australian ISP
- Run their own Routing Registry Whois.connect.com.au
- Permit customers to send up 8 types of communities to allow traffic engineering

Some ISP Conne

aut-num:	AS2764
as-name:	ASN-CONNECT-NET
descr:	connect.com.au pty ltd
admin-c:	CC89
tech-c:	MP151
remarks:	Community Definition
remarks:	
remarks:	2764:1 Announce to "domestic" rate ASes only
remarks:	2764:2 Don't announce outside local POP
remarks:	2764:3 Lower local preference by 25
remarks:	2764:4 Lower local preference by 15
remarks:	2764:5 Lower local preference by 5
remarks:	2764:6 Announce to non customers with "no-export"
remarks:	2764:7 Only announce route to customers
remarks:	2764:8 Announce route over satellite link
notify:	routing@connect.com.au
mnt-by:	CONNECT - AU
changed:	mrp@connect.com.au 19990506
source:	CCAIR

APRICOL

m

Some ISP Examples UUNET Europe

Cisco.com

- UUNET's European operation
- Permits customers to send communities which determine

local preferences within UUNET's network

Reachability of the prefix

How the prefix is announced outside of UUNET's network

Some IS'

aut-num: AS702

- as-name: AS702
- descr: UUNET Commercial IP service provider in Europe
- remarks: ------
- remarks: UUNET uses the following communities with its customers:
- remarks: 702:80 Set Local Pref 80 within AS702
- remarks: 702:120 Set Local Pref 120 within AS702
- remarks: 702:20 Announce only to UUNET AS'es and UUNET customers
- remarks: 702:30 Keep within Europe, don't announce to other UUNET AS's
- remarks: 702:1 Prepend AS702 once at edges of UUNET to Peers
- remarks: 702:2 Prepend AS702 twice at edges of UUNET to Peers
- remarks: 702:3 Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of UUNET to Peers
- remarks: Details of UUNET's peering policy and how to get in touch with
- remarks: UUNET regarding peering policy matters can be found at:
- remarks: http://www.uu.net/peering/
- remarks: ------
- mnt-by: UUNET-MNT
- changed: eric-apps@eu.uu.net 20010928
- source: RIPE

Some ISP Examples BT Ignite

Cisco.com

- Formerly Concert's European network
- One of the most comprehensive community lists around

Seems to be based on definitions originally used in Tiscali's network

whois -h whois.ripe.net AS5400 reveals all

 Extensive community definitions allow sophisticated traffic engineering by customers

Some ISP BT Icr

AS5400 aut-num: as-name: CIPCORE descr: remarks: remarks: remarks: remarks: Community to remarks: Not announce remarks: <snip> 5400:1100 remarks: notify: mnt-by: CIP-MNT source: RIPE

CIPCORE BT Ignite European Backbone The following BGP communities can be set by BT Ignite BGP customers to affect announcements to major peers.

Community to AS prepend 5400 To peer: 5400:2000 5400:1000 European peers 5400:1001 Sprint (AS1239) 5400:2001 5400:1003 Unisource (AS3300) 5400:2003 5400:1005 UUnet (AS702) 5400:2005 5400:1006 Carrier1 (AS8918) 5400:2006 5400:1007 SupportNet (8582) 5400:2007 5400:1008 AT&T (AS2686) 5400:2008 5400:1009 Level 3 (AS9057) 5400:2009 5400:1010 RIPE (AS3333) 5400:2010 US peers 5400:2100 notify@eu.ignite.net And many many more!

Some ISP Examples Carrier1

Cisco.com

- European ISP
- Another very comprehensive list of community definitions

whois -h whois.ripe.net AS8918 reveals all

Some ISP Carrier

nn

aut-num:	AS8918		
descr:	Carrier1 Auto	onomous System	
<snip></snip>			
remarks:	Community Su	pport Definition	s:
remarks:	Communities	that determine t	he geographic
remarks:	entry point of	of routes into t	he Carrier1 network:
remarks:	*		
remarks:	Community	Entry Point	
remarks:			
remarks:	8918:10	London	
remarks:	8918:15	Hamburg	
remarks:	8918:18	Chicago	
remarks:	8918:20	Amsterdam	
remarks:	8918:25	Milan	
remarks:	8918:28	Berlin	
remarks:	8918:30	Frankfurt	
remarks:	8918:35	Zurich	
remarks:	8918:40	Geneva	
remarks:	8918:45	Stockholm	And many
<snip></snip>			many more!
notify:	inoc@carrier	1.net	
mnt-by:	CARRIER1-MNT		
source	RTPE		

Some ISP Examples Level 3

Cisco.com

- Highly detailed AS object held on the RIPE Routing Registry
- Also a very comprehensive list of community definitions

whois –h whois.ripe.net AS3356 reveals all

Some IST Leve

aut-num:	AS3356
descr:	Level 3 Communications
<snip></snip>	
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Suppression
remarks:	
remarks:	64960:XXX - announce to AS XXX if 65000:0
remarks:	65000:0 - announce to customers but not to peers
remarks:	65000:XXX - do not announce at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Prepending
remarks:	
remarks:	65001:0 - prepend once to all peers
remarks:	65001:XXX - prepend once at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	65002:0 - prepend twice to all peers
remarks:	65002:XXX - prepend twice at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	65003:0 - prepend 3x to all peers
remarks:	65003:XXX - prepend 3x at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	65004:0 - prepend 4x to all peers
remarks:	65004:XXX - prepend 4x at peerings to AS XXX
<snip></snip>	
mnt-by:	LEVEL3-MNT And many
source:	RIPE many more!

BGP for Internet Service Providers

Cisco.com

- IGP versus BGP
- Injecting Prefixes into iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips
- Addressing Planning
- Service Provider use of Communities



BGP Tutorial

End of Part 2 – Deployment Techniques

Part 3 – Multihoming is next

APRICOT2003